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As we have seen, the model-checking problem for LTL reduces to checking whether the language of a certain Büchi automaton $B$ is empty.

Reminder: $B$ arises from the intersection of a Kripke structure $\mathcal{K}$ with a BA for the negation of $\phi$.

If $B$ accepts some word, we call such a word a counterexample.

$\mathcal{K} \models \phi$ iff $B$ accepts the empty language.
Typical instances:

- Size of $\mathcal{K}$: between several hundreds to millions of states.
- Size of $\mathcal{B}_{\neg \phi}$: usually just a couple of states

Typical setting:

$\mathcal{K}$ indirectly given in some description language (C, Java / modelling language); model-checking tools will generate $\mathcal{K}$ internally.

$\mathcal{B}_{\neg \phi}$ generated from $\phi$ before start of emptiness check.
Typical setting:

\( \mathcal{B} \) generated “on-the-fly” from (the description of) \( \mathcal{K} \) and from \( \mathcal{B}_{\neg \phi} \) and tested for emptiness at the same time.

As a consequence, the size of \( \mathcal{K} \) (and of \( \mathcal{B} \)) is not known initially!

At the beginning, only the initial state is known, and we have a function \( \text{succ} : S \rightarrow 2^S \) for computing the immediate successors of a given state (the function implements the semantics of the description).
Simple solution I: Check for Lassos

Let $\mathcal{B} = (\Sigma, S, s_0, \delta, F)$ be a Büchi automaton.

$L(\mathcal{B}) \neq \emptyset$ iff there is $s \in F$ such that $s_0 \rightarrow^* s \rightarrow^+ s$

Naïve solution:

Check for each $s \in F$ whether there is a cycle around $s$; let $F_\circ \subseteq F$ denote the set of states with this property.

Check whether $s_0$ can reach some state in $F_\circ$.

Time requirement: Each search takes linear time in the size of $\mathcal{B}$, altogether quadratic run-time $\rightarrow$ unacceptable for millions of states.
Strongly connected components

$C \subseteq S$ is called a **strongly connected component** (SCC) iff

$s \xrightarrow{*} s'$ for all $s, s' \in C$;

$C$ is maximal w.r.t. the above property, i.e. there is no proper superset of $C$ satisfying the above.

An SCC $C$ is called **trivial** if $|C| = 1$ and for the unique state $s \in C$ we have $s \not\rightarrow s$ (single state without loop).
Example: SCCs

The SCCs \( \{s_0\} \) and \( \{s_1\} \) are trivial.
Depth-first search (basic version)

```plaintext
nr = 0;
hash = {};
dfs(s0);
exit;

dfs(s) {
    add s to hash;
nr = nr+1;
s.num = nr;

    for (t in succ(s)) {
        // deal with transition s -> t
        if (t not yet in hash) { dfs(t); }  
    }
}
```
Memory usage

Global variables: counter $nr$, hash table for states

Auxiliary information: “DFS number” $s.num$

search path: Stack for memorizing the “unfinished” calls to $dfs$
Solution (1): based on SCCs

The algorithm of Tarjan (1972), based on depth-first search, can identify the SCCs in linear time (i.e. proportional to $|S| + |\delta|$).

Given the SCCs, one can then check if there exists a non-trivial SCC containing an accepting state.
Solution (2): nested DFS

The nested-DFS algorithm is an alternative requiring only two bits per state.

States are white initially.

A first DFS makes all the state that it visits blue.

Whenever the first (blue) DFS backtracks from an accepting state $s$, it starts a second (red) DFS to see if there is a cycle around $s$.

The red DFS only visits states that are not already red (including from a previous visit). Thus, every state and edge are considered at most twice.
Nested DFS

Nested DFS

Two (nested) phases: Start at initial state.

[Diagram of Nested DFS with two levels of nodes and arrows indicating the flow.]
Nested DFS

Visit states depth-first, colouring them blue.
Nested DFS

Simply backtrack from non-accepting states.
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Continue blue search …
Nested DFS

Continue blue search until backtracking from an accepting state.
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Before backtracking, start a “red” DFS …
Nested DFS

... that searches for a loop back to that accepting state.
Nested DFS

If red search is unsuccessful, backtrack.
Nested DFS

Carry on …
Nested DFS

Future red searches only consider non-red states.