

## TD 7: Coverability

**Exercise 1** (Dickson's Lemma). A *quasi-order*  $(A, \leq)$  is a set  $A$  endowed with a reflexive and transitive ordering relation  $\leq$ . A *well quasi order* (wqo) is a quasi order  $(A, \leq)$  s.t., for any infinite sequence  $a_0 a_1 \dots$  in  $A^\omega$ , there exist indices  $i < j$  with  $a_i \leq a_j$ .

1. Let  $(A, \leq)$  be a wqo and  $B \subseteq A$ . Show that  $(B, \leq)$  is a wqo.
2. Show that  $(\mathbb{N} \uplus \{\omega\}, \leq)$  is a wqo.
3. Let  $(A, \leq)$  be a wqo. Show that any infinite sequence  $a_0 a_1 \dots$  in  $A^\omega$  embeds an infinite increasing subsequence  $a_{i_0} \leq a_{i_1} \leq a_{i_2} \leq \dots$  with  $i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < \dots$ .
4. Let  $(A, \leq_A)$  and  $(B, \leq_B)$  be two wqo's. Show that the cartesian product  $(A \times B, \leq_\times)$ , where the product ordering is defined by  $(a, b) \leq_\times (a', b')$  iff  $a \leq_A a'$  and  $b \leq_B b'$ , is a wqo.

**Exercise 2** (Coverability Graph). The *coverability problem* for Petri nets is the following decision problem:

**Instance:** A Petri net  $\mathcal{N} = \langle P, T, F, W, m_0 \rangle$  and a marking  $m_1$  in  $\mathbb{N}^P$ .

**Question:** Does there exist  $m_2$  in  $\text{reach}_{\mathcal{N}}(m_0)$  such that  $m_1 \leq m_2$ ?

For 1-safe Petri nets, coverability coincides with reachability, and is thus PSPACE-complete.

One way to decide the general coverability problem is to use Karp and Miller's coverability graph (see the lecture notes). Indeed, we have the equivalence between the two statements:

- i.* there exists  $m_2$  in  $\text{reach}_{\mathcal{N}}(m_0)$  such that  $m_1 \leq m_2$ , and
- ii.* there exists  $m_3$  in  $\text{CoverabilityGraph}_{\mathcal{N}}(m_0)$  such that  $m_1 \leq m_3$ .

1. In order to prove that *(i)* implies *(ii)*, we will prove a stronger statement: for a marking  $m$  in  $(\mathbb{N} \uplus \{\omega\})^P$ , write  $\Omega(m) = \{p \in P \mid m(p) = \omega\}$  for the set of  $\omega$ -places of  $m$ .

Show that, if  $m_0 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{N}} m_2$  in the Petri net  $\mathcal{N}$  for some  $u$  in  $T^*$ , then there exists  $m_3$  in  $(\mathbb{N} \uplus \{\omega\})^P$  such that  $m_2(p) = m_3(p)$  for all  $p$  in  $P \setminus \Omega(m_3)$  and  $m_0 \xrightarrow{G} m_3$  in the coverability graph.

2. Let us prove that *(ii)* implies *(i)*. The idea is that we can find reachable markings that agree with  $m_3$  on its finite places, and that can be made arbitrarily high on its  $\omega$ -places. For this, we need to identify the graph nodes where new  $\omega$  values were introduced, which we call  $\omega$ -nodes.

- (a) The *threshold*  $\Theta(u)$  of a transition sequence  $u$  in  $T^*$  is the minimal marking  $m$  in  $\mathbb{N}^P$  s.t.  $u$  is enabled from  $m$ . Show how to compute  $\Theta(u)$ . Show that  $\Theta(u \cdot v) \leq \Theta(u) + \Theta(v)$  for all  $u, v$  in  $T^*$ .
- (b) Recall that an  $\omega$  value is introduced in the coverability graph thanks to Algorithm 1.

```

1 repeat
2   saved ← m';
3   foreach m'' ∈ V s.t. ∃v ∈ T*, m''  $\xrightarrow{v}_G$  m do
4     if m'' < m' then
5       m' ← m' + ((m' - m'') · ω)
6     end
7   end
8 until saved = m' ;
9 return m'

```

**Algorithm 1:** ADDOMEGAS( $m, m', V$ )

We consider a call to ADDOMEGAS( $m, m', V$ ) on line 8 of the COVERABILITYGRAPH algorithm from the course notes, where  $m \xrightarrow{t}_{\mathcal{N}} m'$  for  $t$  the transition chosen at line 6 of the COVERABILITYGRAPH algorithm.

Let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\}$  be the set of “ $vt$ ” sequences, where  $v$  is found on line 3 of ADDOMEGAS( $m, m', V$ ). These sequences  $vt$  resulted in adding at least one  $\omega$  value to  $m'$  on line 5. Let  $w = v_1 \cdots v_\ell$ . Show that, for any  $k$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , the marking  $\nu_k$  defined by

$$\nu_k(p) = \begin{cases} m'(p) & \text{if } p \in P \setminus \Omega(m) \\ \Theta(w^k)(p) & \text{if } p \in \Omega(m) \end{cases}$$

allows to fire  $w^k$ . How does the marking  $\nu'_k$  with  $\nu_k \xrightarrow{w^k}_{\mathcal{N}} \nu'_k$  compare to  $\nu_k$ ?

- (c) Prove that, if  $m_0 \xrightarrow{u}_G m_3$  for some  $u$  in  $T^*$  in the coverability graph and  $m'$  in  $\mathbb{N}^{\Omega(m_3)}$  is a partial marking on the places of  $\Omega(m_3)$ , then there are
- $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,
  - a decomposition  $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{n+1}$  with each  $u_i$  in  $T^*$  (where the markings  $\mu_i$  reached by  $m_0 \xrightarrow{u_1 \cdots u_i}_G \mu_i$  for  $i \leq n$  have new  $\omega$  values),
  - sequences  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  in  $T^+$ ,
  - numbers  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,

such that  $m_0 \xrightarrow{u_1 w_1^{k_1} u_2 \cdots u_n w_n^{k_n} u_{n+1}}_{\mathcal{N}} m_2$  with  $m_2(p) = m_3(p)$  for all  $p$  in  $P \setminus \Omega(m_3)$  and  $m_2(p) \geq m'(p)$  for all  $p$  in  $\Omega(m_3)$ .

**Exercise 3** (Decidability of Model-checking Action-based LTL).

1. Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be Petri net,  $G$  its coverability graph, and  $m$  some marking in  $\mathbb{N}^P$ . An infinite *computation* is a sequence  $m_0 m_1 \dots$  in  $(\mathbb{N}^P)^\omega$  where for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m_i \rightarrow_{\mathcal{N}} m_{i+1}$  is a transition step. The *effect*  $\Delta(u)$  of a transition sequence  $u$  in  $T^*$  is defined by  $\Delta(\varepsilon) = 0^P$  and  $\Delta(ut) = \Delta(u) - W(P, t) + W(t, P)$ .

Show that there exists an infinite computation s.t.  $m \leq m_i$  for infinitely many indices  $i$  iff there exists an accessible loop  $m' \xrightarrow{v}_G m'$  in  $G$  s.t.  $m \leq m'$  and  $\Delta(v) \geq 0^P$ .

2. Show that action-based LTL model-checking is decidable for labeled Petri nets.

**Exercise 4** (Rackoff's Algorithm). A rather severe issue with the coverability graph construction is that it can generate a graph of Ackermannian size compared to that of the original Petri net. We show here a much more decent EXPSpace upper bound, which is matched by an EXPSpace hardness proof by Lipton.

Let us fix a Petri net  $\mathcal{N} = \langle P, T, F, W, m_0 \rangle$ . We consider *generalized markings* in  $\mathbb{Z}^P$ . A *generalized computation* is a sequence  $\mu_1 \dots \mu_n$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}^P)^*$  such that, for all  $1 \leq i < n$ , there is a transition  $t$  in  $T$  with  $\mu_{i+1}(p) = \mu_i(p) - W(p, t) + W(t, p)$  for all  $p \in P$  (i.e. we do not enforce enabling conditions). For a subset  $I$  of  $P$ , a generalized sequence is *I-admissible* if furthermore  $\mu_i(p) \geq W(p, t)$  for all  $p$  in  $I$  at each step  $1 \leq i < n$ . For a value  $B$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , it is *I-B-bounded* if furthermore  $\mu_i(p) < B$  for all  $p$  in  $I$  at each step  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . A generalized sequence is an *I-covering* for  $m_1$  if  $\mu_1 = m_0$  and  $\mu_n(p) \geq m_1(p)$  for all  $p$  in  $I$ .

Thus a computation is a  $P$ -admissible generalized computation, and a  $P$ -admissible  $P$ -covering for  $m_1$  answers the coverability problem.

For a Petri net  $\mathcal{N} = \langle P, T, F, W, m_0 \rangle$  and a marking  $m_1$  in  $\mathbb{N}^P$ , let  $\ell(\mathcal{N}, m_1)$  be the length of the shortest  $P$ -admissible  $P$ -covering for  $m_1$  in  $\mathcal{N}$  if one exists, and otherwise  $\ell(\mathcal{N}, m_1) = 0$ . For  $L, k$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , define

$$M_L(k) = \sup\{\ell(\mathcal{N}, m_1) \mid |P| = k, \max_{p \in P, t \in T} W(p, t) + \max_{p \in P} m_1(p) < L\}$$

the maximal  $\ell(\mathcal{N}, m_1)$  over *all* Petri nets  $\mathcal{N}$  of dimension  $k$  and all markings  $m_1$  to cover, under some restrictions on incoming weights  $W(p, t)$  in  $\mathcal{N}$  and values in  $m_1$ .

1. Show that  $M_L(0) \leq 1$ .
2. We want to show that

$$M_L(k) \leq (L \cdot M_L(k-1))^k + M_L(k-1)$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ . To this end, we prove that, for every marking  $m_1$  in  $\mathbb{N}^P$  for a Petri net  $\mathcal{N}$  with  $|P| = k$ ,

$$\ell(\mathcal{N}, m_1) \leq (L \cdot M_L(k-1))^k + M_L(k-1). \quad (*)$$

Let

$$B = M_L(k-1) \cdot \max_{p \in P, t \in T} W(p, t) + \max_{p \in P} m_1(p).$$

and suppose that there exists a  $P$ -admissible  $P$ -covering  $w = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_n$  for  $m_1$  in  $\mathcal{N}$ .

- (a) Show that, if  $w$  is  $P$ - $B$ -bounded, then  $(*)$  holds.
  - (b) Assume the contrary: we can split  $w$  as  $w_1 w_2$  such that  $w_1$  is  $P$ - $B$ -bounded and  $w_2$  starts with a marking  $\mu_j$  with a place  $p$  such that  $\mu_j(p) \geq B$ . Show that  $(*)$  also holds.
3. Show that  $M_L(|P|) \leq L^{(3 \cdot |P|)!}$  for  $L \geq 2$ .
  4. Given a Petri net  $\mathcal{N} = \langle P, T, W, m_0 \rangle$  and a marking  $m_1$ , set  $L = 2 + \max_{p \in P, t \in T} W(p, t) + \max_{p \in P} m_1(p)$ . Assuming that the size  $n$  of the instance  $(\mathcal{N}, m_1)$  of the coverability problem is more than

$$\max(\log L, |P|, \max_{p \in P, t \in T} \log W(t, p)),$$

deduce that we can guess a  $P$ -admissible  $P$ -covering for  $m_1$  of length at most  $2^{2^{c \cdot n \log n}}$  for some constant  $c$ . Conclude that coverability can be solved in EXSPACE.