

Automata, Games, and Verification: Lecture 7

Lemma 1 For every semi-deterministic Büchi automaton \mathcal{A} there exists a deterministic Muller automaton \mathcal{A}' with $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}')$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = (N \uplus D, I, T, F)$, $d = |D|$, and let D be ordered by $<$. We construct the DMA $(S', \{s'_0\}, T', \mathcal{F})$:

- $S' = 2^N \times \{0, \dots, 2d\} \rightarrow D \cup \{\perp\}$
- $s'_0 = (\{N \cap I\}, (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n, \perp, \dots, \perp))$,
where $d_i < d_{i+1}$, $\{d_1, \dots, d_n\} = D \cap I$.
- $T' = \{((N_1, f_1), \sigma, (N_2, f_2)) \mid N_2 = \text{pr}_3(T \cap N_1 \times \{\sigma\} \times N)$
 $D' = \text{pr}_3(T \cap N_1 \times \{\sigma\} \times D)$
 $g_1 : n \mapsto d_2 \in D \Leftrightarrow f_1 : n \mapsto d_1 \in D \wedge d_1 \xrightarrow{\sigma} d_2$
 g_2 : insert the elements of D' in the empty slots of g_1 (using $<$)
 f_2 : delete every recurrence (leaving an *empty* slot)
- $\mathcal{F} = \{F' \subseteq S' \mid \exists i \in 1, \dots, 2d \text{ s.t.}$
 $f(i) \neq \perp$ for all $(N', f) \in F'$ and
 $f(i) \in F$ for some $(N', f) \in F'\}$.

Proof:

$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}')$:

If $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$, \mathcal{A} has an accepting run $r = n_0 \dots n_{j-1} d_j d_{j+1} d_{j+2} \dots$
where $n_k \in N$ for $k < j$ and $d_k \in D$ for $k \geq j$.

Consider the run $r' = (N_0, f_0), (N_1, f_1), \dots$ of \mathcal{A}' on α .

- $n_k \in N_k$ for all $k < j$,
- for all $k \geq j$, $d_k = f_k(i)$ for some $i \leq 2d$,
- these i 's are non-increasing, and hence stabilize eventually.
- for this stable i ,
 $f(i) \neq \perp$ for all $(N', f) \in \text{In}(r')$ and $f(i) \in F$ for some $(N', f) \in \text{In}(r')$.
- $\text{In}(r') \in \mathcal{F}$.

$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}') \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$:

For $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}')$, \mathcal{A}' has an accepting run $r' = (N_0, f_0), (N_1, f_1), \dots$

- We pick an i and an accepting set $F' \in \mathcal{F}$ s.t.
 $f(i) \neq \perp$ for all $(N', f) \in F'$ and $f(i) \in F$ for some $(N', f) \in F'$.

- We pick a $j \in \omega$ such that $f_n(i) \neq \perp$ for all $n > j$.
- There is a run $r = s_0 s_1 \dots s_j f_{j+1}(i) f_{j+2}(i) f_{j+3}(i) \dots$ of \mathcal{A} for α .
- r is accepting.

■

Theorem 1 (McNaughton's Theorem (1966)) *Every Büchi recognizable language is recognizable by a deterministic Muller automaton.*

8 LTL and QPTL

LTL: Linear-time temporal logic, QPTL: Quantified propositional temporal logic.

LTL: $a \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi \wedge \psi \mid X\varphi \mid \varphi \cup \psi$

where $a \in AP$ and φ and ψ are LTL formulas. Additional derived operators: F and G.

QPTL: $\varphi \mid \psi \wedge \eta \mid \neg\psi \mid \exists p. \psi$

where φ is an LTL formula and ψ and η are QPTL formulas.

QPTL Semantics:

$\alpha \models \exists q. \varphi$ iff there is an α' with
 $\alpha'(j) \cap (AP \setminus \{q\}) = \alpha(j) \cap (AP \setminus \{q\})$ for all $j \in \omega$,
 s.t. $\alpha' \models \varphi$.

There are Büchi recognizable languages that are not LTL-definable.

Example: $(\emptyset\emptyset)^* \{p\}^\omega$

Definition 1 *A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^\omega$ is non-counting iff*

$\exists n_0 \in \omega . \forall n \geq n_0 . \forall u, v \in \Sigma^*, \gamma \in \Sigma^\omega .$

$uv^n\gamma \in L \Leftrightarrow uv^{n+1}\gamma \in L$

Example: $L = (\emptyset\emptyset)^* \{p\}^\omega$ is counting. For every $\emptyset^n \{p\}^\omega \in L$, $\emptyset^{n+1} \{p\}^\omega \notin L$.

◆

Theorem 2 *For every LTL-formula φ , $\mathcal{L}(\varphi)$ is non-counting.*

Proof:

Structural induction on φ :

- $\varphi = p$: choose $n_0 = 1$.
- $\varphi = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$: By IH, φ_1 defines non-counting language with threshold $n'_0 \in \omega$, φ_2 with n''_0 ; choose $n_0 = \max(n'_0, n''_0)$;
- $\varphi = \neg\varphi_1$: choose $n_0 = n'_0$.
- $\varphi = X\varphi_1$: choose $n_0 = n'_0 + 1$.
 - We show for $n \geq n_0$: $uv^n\gamma \models X\varphi \Leftrightarrow uv^{n+1}\gamma \models X\varphi$.

- Case $u \neq \varepsilon$, i.e., $u = au'$ for some $a \in \Sigma, u' \in \Sigma^*$:
 - $au'v^n\gamma \models X\varphi$
 - iff $u'v^n\gamma \models \varphi$
 - iff $u'v^{n+1}\gamma \models \varphi$ (IH)
 - iff $au'v^{n+1}\gamma \models X\varphi$.
- Case $u = \varepsilon, v = av'$ for some $a \in \Sigma, v' \in \Sigma^*$:
 - $(av')^n\gamma \models X\varphi$
 - iff $(av')(av')^{n-1}\gamma \models X\varphi$
 - iff $v'(av')^{n-1}\gamma \models \varphi$
 - iff $v'(av')^n\gamma \models \varphi$ (IH)
 - iff $(av')^{n+1}\gamma \models X\varphi$.
- $\varphi = \varphi_1 \mathcal{U} \varphi_2$: choose $n_0 = \max(n'_0, n''_0) + 1$.
 Claim: for $n \geq n_0: uv^n\gamma \models \varphi_1 \mathcal{U} \varphi_2 \Rightarrow uv^{n+1}\gamma \models \varphi_1 \mathcal{U} \varphi_2$.
 - $uv^n\gamma \models \varphi_1 \mathcal{U} \varphi_2 \Rightarrow \exists j. uv^n\gamma[j..] \models \varphi_2$ and $\forall i < j. uv^n\gamma[i..] \models \varphi_1$.
 - Let j be the least such index.
 - Case $j \leq |u|$:
 by IH, $uv^{n+1}\gamma[j..] \models \varphi_2$ and for all $i < j. uv^{n+1}\gamma[i..] \models \varphi_1$;
 - Case $j > |u|$:
 $uv^{n+1}\gamma[j + |v|..] \models \varphi_2$ (because $uv^{n+1}\gamma$ has the same suffix from position $j + |v|$ as uv^n from position j);
 for all $|u| + |v| \leq i < j + |v|. uv^{n+1}\gamma[i..] \models \varphi_1$ (again, because the suffix is the same);
 By (IH), for all $i < |u| + |v|, i < j. uvv^n\gamma[i..] \models \varphi_1$, because $uvv^{n-1}\gamma[i..] \models \varphi_1$.
- Claim: for $n \geq n_0: uv^{n+1}\gamma \models \varphi_1 \mathcal{U} \varphi_2 \Rightarrow uv^n\gamma \models \varphi_1 \mathcal{U} \varphi_2$
 - $uv^{n+1}\gamma \models \varphi_1 \mathcal{U} \varphi_2 \Rightarrow \exists j. uv^{n+1}\gamma[j..] \models \varphi_2$ and $\forall i < j. uv^{n+1}\gamma[i..] \models \varphi_1$.
 - Case $j \leq |u| + |v|$:
 by IH, $uvv^{n-1}\gamma[j..] \models \varphi_2$ and for all $i < j. uvv^{n-1}\gamma[i..] \models \varphi_1$;
 - Case $j > |u| + |v|$:
 $uv^n\gamma[j - |v|..] \models \varphi_2$;
 for all $|u| + |v| \leq i < j. uv^n\gamma[i..] \models \varphi_1$;
 By (IH), for all $i < |u| + |v|. uvv^{n-1}\gamma[i..] \models \varphi_1$, because $uvv^n\gamma[i..] \models \varphi_1$.

■

Example: $L = (\emptyset\emptyset)^*\{p\}^\omega$ is QPTL-definable:

$\exists q. (q \wedge G(q \leftrightarrow \neg Xq) \wedge G(p \rightarrow Xp) \wedge G(Xp \rightarrow (p \vee \neg q)))$

✚

Theorem 3 For every Büchi automaton \mathcal{A} over $\Sigma = 2^{AP}$ there exists a QPTL formula φ such that $\mathcal{L}(\varphi) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof:

Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ and $AP' = AP \cup \{at_{s_1}, \dots, at_{s_n}\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi := \exists at_{s_1}, \dots, at_{s_n} \cdot & \bigvee_{s \in I} at_s \\ & \wedge G \left(\bigvee_{(s_i, A, s_j) \in T} at_{s_i} \wedge X at_{s_j} \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{p \in A} p \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{p \in AP \setminus A} \neg p \right) \right) \\ & \wedge G \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^n \bigwedge_{j \neq i} \neg (at_{s_i} \wedge at_{s_j}) \right) \\ & \wedge GF \bigvee_{s_i \in F} at_{s_i} \end{aligned}$$

■

9 Monadic Second-Order Theory of One Successor (S1S)

Syntax:

- first-order variable set $V_1 = \{x, y, \dots\}$
- second-order variable set $V_2 = \{X, Y, \dots\}$
- Terms t :

$$t ::= 0 \mid x \mid S(t)$$

- Formulas φ :

$$\varphi ::= t \in X \mid t_1 = t_2 \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi_0 \vee \varphi_1 \mid \exists x. \varphi \mid \exists X. \varphi$$

Abbreviations:

- $\forall X. \varphi := \neg \exists X. \neg \varphi$;
- $x \notin Y := \neg(x \in Y)$;
- $x \neq y := \neg(x = y)$.

Semantics:

- first-order valuation $\sigma_1 : V_1 \rightarrow \omega$
- second-order valuation $\sigma_2 : V_2 \rightarrow 2^\omega$

Semantics of terms:

- $[0]_{\sigma_1} = 0$
- $[x]_{\sigma_1} = \sigma_1(x)$

- $[S(t)_{\sigma_1}] = [t]_{\sigma_1} + 1$

Semantics of formulas:

- $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models t \in X$ iff $[t]_{\sigma_1} \in \sigma_2(X)$
- $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models t_1 = t_2$ iff $[t_1]_{\sigma_1} = [t_2]_{\sigma_1}$
- $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models \neg\psi$ iff $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \not\models \psi$
- $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models \psi_0 \vee \psi_1$ iff $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models \psi_0$ or $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models \psi_1$
- $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models \exists x. \varphi$ iff there is an $a \in \omega$ s.t.

$$\sigma'_1(y) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1(y) & \text{if } y \neq x \\ a & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\sigma'_1, \sigma_2 \models \varphi$.

- $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models \exists X. \varphi$ iff there is an $A \subseteq \omega$ s.t.

$$\sigma'_2(Y) = \begin{cases} \sigma_2(Y) & \text{if } Y \neq X \\ A & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\sigma_1, \sigma'_2 \models \varphi$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} X \subseteq Y & \quad \equiv \forall z. (z \in X \rightarrow z \in Y); \\ X = Y & \quad \equiv X \subseteq Y \wedge Y \subseteq X; \\ \text{Suff}(X) & \quad \equiv \forall y. (y \in X \rightarrow S(y) \in X); \\ x \leq y & \quad \equiv \forall Z. (x \in Z \wedge \text{Suff}(Z)) \rightarrow y \in Z; \\ \text{Fin}(X) & \quad \equiv \exists Y. (X \subseteq Y \wedge (\exists z. z \notin Y) \wedge (\forall z. (z \notin Y \rightarrow S(z) \notin Y))); \end{aligned}$$

