

## TD 7

**Exercise 1.** Given  $p \in AP$ , we are only interested in runs visiting finitely often states satisfying  $p$ . Hence, we define path quantifiers  $E_p$  and  $A_p$  as

$$E_p \varphi = E(\text{FG } \neg p \wedge \varphi)$$

$$A_p \varphi = A((\text{FG } \neg p) \Rightarrow \varphi)$$

We consider  $\text{CTL}_p(AP, X, U)$  defined by the syntax

$$\varphi ::= \top \mid q \in AP \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \vee \varphi \mid E_p X \varphi \mid E_p \varphi U \varphi \mid E_p G \varphi$$

where  $q \in AP$ .

1. Show that we can add  $A_p X \varphi$  and  $A_p \varphi U \varphi$  to the syntax without changing the expressive power of  $\text{CTL}_p(AP, X, U)$ .
2. Prove that  $\text{CTL}_p(AP, X, U)$  formulæ can be expressed in  $\text{CTL}(AP, X, U)$ .
3. Prove that  $\text{CTL}(AP, X, U)$  is strictly more expressive than  $\text{CTL}_p(AP, X, U)$ .

**Exercise 2.** Given a family of sets of states  $(F_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ , we define fair runs as runs that visit infinitely often each  $F_i$ . Formally,  $\sigma$  is fair if  $\sigma \models \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{GF } F_i$  where  $s \models F_i$  iff  $s \in F_i$ . We define path quantifiers  $E_f$  and  $A_f$  as

$$E_f(\varphi) = E(\varphi \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{GF } F_i)$$

$$A_f(\varphi) = A((\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{GF } F_i) \Rightarrow \varphi)$$

We consider  $\text{CTL}_f(AP, X, U)$  defined by the syntax

$$\varphi ::= \top \mid p \in AP \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \vee \varphi \mid E_f X \varphi \mid E_f \varphi U \varphi \mid A_f \varphi U \varphi$$

Show that  $\text{CTL}_f(AP, X, U)$  cannot be expressed in  $\text{CTL}$ .

**Exercise 3.** We consider the logic  $\text{CTL-Sync}$  defined by the following syntax:

$$\varphi ::= \top \mid p \in AP \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \vee \varphi \mid \text{EX } \varphi \mid \text{E } \varphi U \varphi \mid \text{AX } \varphi \mid \text{A } \varphi U \varphi \mid \varphi \text{UE } \varphi \mid \varphi \text{UA } \varphi$$

where:

- $s \models \varphi_1 \text{UE } \varphi_2$  if there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that for all  $j, 0 \leq j < k$  there exists a path  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_k$  with  $s_0 = s$  such that  $s_j \models \varphi_1$  and  $s_k \models \varphi_2$
- $s \models \varphi_1 \text{UA } \varphi_2$  if there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that for all  $j, 0 \leq j < k$  and for all paths  $s_0 s_1 \dots s_k$  with  $s_0 = s$  we have  $s_j \models \varphi_1$  and  $s_k \models \varphi_2$

1. For each pair of formula, decide whether they are equivalent (in finite structures)
  - $FE p$  and  $EF p$
  - $FA p$  and  $AF p$
  - $GE p$  and  $EG p$
  - $GE EF p$  and  $EG EF p$
2. Show that CTL-Sync is strictly more expressive than CTL.