**TD 2: Temporal Logics**

**Exercise 1** (Specification). We would like to verify the properties of a boolean circuit with input $x$, output $y$, and a register $r$. We define accordingly $\text{AP} = \{x = 0, x = 1, y = 0, y = 1, r = 0, r = 1\}$ as our set of atomic propositions and consider the linear time flow $(\mathbb{N}, <)$ where the runs of the circuit can be seen as temporal structures.

Translate the following properties (a) in $\text{TL}(\text{AP}, \text{SU})$ and (b) in $\text{FO}(\text{AP}, <)$:

1. “it is impossible to get two consecutive 1 as output”
2. “each time the input is 1, at most two ticks later the output will be 1”
3. “each time the input is 1, the register contents remain the same over the next tick”
4. “The register is infinitely often 1”

Note that there might be several, non-equivalent formal specifications matching these informal descriptions—that’s the whole point of writing specifications!—but your (a) and (b) should be equivalent.

**Exercise 2** (Equivalences). We fix a set $\text{AP}$ of atomic propositions including $\{p, q, r\}$ and some discrete linear time flow $(\mathbb{T}, <)$.

1. Consider the formulæ $\varphi_1 = G(p \rightarrow Xq)$ and $\varphi_2 = G(p \rightarrow ((\neg q) \mathcal{R} q))$
   (a) Does $\varphi_2$ imply $\varphi_1$?
   (b) Does $\varphi_1$ imply $\varphi_2$?

2. Simplify the following formula:
   $$SF(\((G r) \mathcal{U} p) \land (\neg q \mathcal{U} p)) \lor SF(\neg p \lor F q).$$

3. Give a $\text{TL}(\text{AP}, \mathcal{U})$ formula $\varphi$ equivalent to $(p \mathcal{U} q) \mathcal{U} r$ and such that for any sub-formula $\psi \mathcal{U} \psi'$ of $\varphi$, $\psi$ is a boolean formula.

**Exercise 3** (Expressiveness). We fix the set $\text{AP} = \{p\}$ of atomic propositions, with an associated alphabet $\Sigma = \{\{p\}, \emptyset\}$, and consider the $(\mathbb{N}, <)$ flow of time, where temporal structures can be seen as infinite words over $\Sigma$, i.e. words in $\Sigma^\omega$.

1. Show that the following subsets of $\Sigma^\omega$ are expressible in $\text{LTL}(\text{AP}, \mathcal{U}, X)$:
   (a) $\{p\}^*. \emptyset^\omega$, and
   (b) $\{p\}^n \cdot \emptyset^\omega$ for each fixed $n \geq 0$. 


2. Is the language \((\{p\} \cdot \emptyset)^\omega\) expressible in LTL(AP, U, X)?

3. Consider the infinite sequence \(\sigma_i = \{p\}^i \cdot \emptyset \cdot \{p\}^\omega\) for \(i \geq 0\). Show by induction on LTL(AP, U, X) formulae \(\varphi\) that, for all \(n \geq 0\), if \(\varphi\) has less than \(n\) \(X\) modalities, then for all \(i, i' > n\), \(\sigma_i \models \varphi\) iff \(\sigma_{i'} \models \varphi\). (Hint: For the case of \(U\), show that \(\sigma_i \models \varphi\) iff \(\sigma_{n+1} \models \varphi\).)

4. Using the previous question, show that the set \((\{p\} \cdot \Sigma)^\omega\) is not expressible in LTL(AP, U, X) over \((\mathbb{N}, <)\).

**Exercise 4** (2017 Mid-term Exam). The flow of time is \((\mathbb{N}, <)\), AP is the set of atomic propositions, and \(\Sigma = 2^{\text{AP}}\).

1. Given \(p \in \text{AP}\) and \(\varphi \in \text{TL}(\text{AP}, \text{SU}, \text{SS})\), construct a formula \(\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{TL}(\text{AP}, \text{SU}, \text{SS})\) such that
   \[
   \forall u \in \Sigma^*, \forall v \in \Sigma^\omega, \forall i \geq 0 : \quad v, i \models \varphi \iff uv, |u| + i \models \tilde{\varphi}.
   \]

2. Given \(p \in \text{AP}\) and \(\varphi \in \text{TL}(\text{AP}, \text{SU}, \text{SS})\), construct a formula \(\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{TL}(\text{AP}, \text{SU}, \text{SS})\) such that
   \[
   \forall u \in \Sigma^*, \forall v \in \Sigma^\omega, \forall i \geq 0 : \quad v, 0 \models \varphi \iff uv, 0 \models \tilde{\varphi}.
   \]