

# Automates d'arbre

## TD n°3 : Minimization and Logic

### Exercise 1 : Minimization results

**Definition 1** An equivalence relation  $\equiv$  on  $T$  is a congruence on  $T(\mathcal{F})$  if for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$  :

$$(\forall i, 1 \leq i \leq n, u_i \equiv v_i) \Rightarrow f(u_1, \dots, u_n) \equiv f(v_1, \dots, v_n)$$

For a given tree language  $L$ , let us define the congruence  $\equiv_L$  on  $T(\mathcal{F})$  by :  $u \equiv_L v$  if for all contexts  $C \in C(\mathcal{F})$  :

$$C[u] \in L \Leftrightarrow C[v] \in L$$

Prove that the following are equivalent :

1.  $L$  is a recognizable tree language
2.  $L$  is the union of some equivalence classes of a congruence of finite index
3. the relation  $\equiv_L$  is a congruence of finite index. Then, show how to obtain the minimal automaton of a language.

### Solution:

- (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Assume that  $L$  is recognized by some complete DFTA  $A = (Q, F, Q_f, \delta)$ . We consider  $\delta$  as a transition function. Let us consider the relation  $\equiv_A$  defined on  $T(\mathcal{F})$  by :  $u \equiv_A v$  if  $\delta(u) = \delta(v)$ . Clearly  $\equiv_A$  is a congruence relation and it is of finite index, since the number of equivalence classes is at most the number of states in  $Q$ . Furthermore,  $L$  is the union of those equivalence classes that include a term  $u$  such that  $\delta(u)$  is a final state.
- (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let us denote by  $\sim$  the congruence of finite index, we assume that  $u \sim v$ . We can show by induction that  $\forall C \in C(\mathcal{F}), C(u) \sim C(v)$ . As  $L$  is the union of some equivalence classes of  $\sim$ , we have that  $C(u) \in L \Leftrightarrow C(v) \in L$ . Finally, we have that  $u \equiv_L v$ , and the equivalence class of  $u$  in  $\sim$  is contained inside the one in  $\equiv_L$ . Consequently, the index of  $\equiv_L$  is lower than  $\sim$ , which is finite.
- (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $Q_{min}$  be the finite set of equivalence classes of  $L$ , we write  $[u]$  for the equivalence class of  $u$ . Then, we define  $\delta_{min}$  with :

$$\delta_{min}(f, [u_1], \dots, [u_n]) = [f(u_1), \dots, f(u_n)]$$

. Finally, we let  $Q_{minf} = \{[u] | u \in L\}$ . The DFTA  $A_{min} = (Q_{min}, \mathcal{F}_{minf}, \delta_{min})$  recognizes the language  $L$ .

We thus constructed  $A_{min}$  which recognizes  $L$ . If we consider any automaton  $A$  recognizing  $L$ , we have with the first proof the relation  $\equiv_A$  which has as many classes as the number of states of  $A$ . And with the second proof, we have that  $\equiv_A$  has more classes than  $\equiv_L$ . So  $\equiv_L$  has less classes than the number of states of  $A$ . And finally with the third proof, we have that the number of classes of  $\equiv_L$  is the number of states of  $A_{min}$ . In conclusion, any automaton  $A$  recognizing  $L$  has more states (or equal) than  $A_{min}$ . Thus,  $A_{min}$  can indeed be called the minimal automaton.

### Exercise 2 : Let's try to minimize

We consider the complete DFTA on  $\mathcal{F} = \{f/2, g/2, a/0, b/0\}$  with states  $\{q_a, q_b, q_f, q_g, \top, \perp\}$ , finale state  $\top$  and transitions :

- $a \longrightarrow q_a$
- $b \longrightarrow q_b$
- $f(q_a, q_b) \longrightarrow q_f$
- $f(q_f, q_b) \longrightarrow \top$
- $g(q_a, q_a) \longrightarrow q_g$
- $f(q_g, q_b) \longrightarrow \top$
- $h(q, q') \longrightarrow \top$  if  $h \in \{f, g\}$ , and  $q = \top$  or  $q' = \top$ .
- $h(q, q') \longrightarrow \perp$  in all other cases.

Give the corresponding minimized algorithm obtained through the partition refinement algorithm.

**Solution:**

The initial partitioning is  $P = \{(\top), (q_a, q_b, q_g, q_f, \perp)\}$ .

Then, we can for instance distinguish  $q_a$  with  $q_f$  as  $f(q_f, q_b) \longrightarrow \top$  but  $Tf(q_a, q_b) \longrightarrow \perp$  and we do not have  $(\perp P \top)$ . This argument is also valid for  $(q_b, q_f), (q_b, q_g), (q_a, q_b), (q_a, q_g)$ , and  $(\perp, \cdot)$ . However, we have that  $f(q_f, q_b) \longrightarrow \top$  and  $Tf(q_g, q_b) \longrightarrow \top$ , so we have  $(q_f P' q_g)$ . Finally, at the end of the first loop,  $P' = \{(\top), (q_b), (q_a), (\perp), (q_f, q_g)\}$ . If we try once more, it is stable, so we have the minimal automaton by merging  $q_f$  and  $q_g$ .

**Exercise 3: MSO on finite trees**

We consider trees with maximum arity 2. Give MSO formulae which express the following :

1.  $X$  is closed under predecessors
2.  $x \subseteq y$  (with  $\subseteq$  the prefix relation on positions)
3. 'a' occurs twice on the same path

**Solution:**

1.  $closed(X) := \forall y \forall z (y \in X \wedge (z \downarrow_1 y) \vee z \downarrow_2 y) \Rightarrow z \in X$
2.  $x \subseteq y := \forall X (y \in X \wedge closed(X) \Rightarrow X(x))$
3.  $\exists x \exists y (\neg(x = y) \wedge x \subseteq y \wedge P_a(x) \wedge P_a(y))$