# Automates d'arbre

TD  $n^{\circ}4$  : Logic and Hedges

Charlie Jacomme

October 03, 2017

#### Exercise 1: The power of Wsks

Produce formulae of WSkS for the following predicates :

- the set X has exactly two elements.
- the set X contains at least one string beginning with a 1.
- $x \leq_{lex} y$  where  $\leq_{lex}$  is the lexicographic order on  $\{1, ..., k\}^*$ .
- given a formula of WSkS  $\phi$  with one free first-order variable, produce a formula of WSkS expressing that there is an infinity of words on  $\{1, ..., k\}^*$  satisfying  $\phi$ .

## Solution:

-	
	$ X  \le 2 \doteq \forall Y. Y \subseteq X \Rightarrow (Y = \varnothing \lor Sing(Y) \lor Y = X)$
	$ X  \geq 2 \doteq \exists x, y.  x \neq y \land x \in X \land y \in X$
	$ X  = 2 \doteq  X  \le 2 \land  X  \ge 2$
•	
	$X \cap 1.\Sigma^* \neq \varnothing \doteq \exists x.  x \in X \land 1 \leq x$
•	$x \leq_{lex} y \doteq x \leq y \lor (\exists z. \bigvee_{i \leq j \leq k} (z.i \leq x \land z.j \leq y))$
•	
	$X \models \phi \doteq \forall x, x \in X \Rightarrow \phi(x)$
	$\phi$ satisfied by an infinity of words $\doteq \forall X, X \models \phi \Rightarrow \exists Y, X \subsetneq Y \land Y \models \phi$

#### Exercise 2: The limit of Wsks

Prove that the predicate x = 1y is not definable in WSkS.

#### Solution:

We use the equivalence with recognizable tree languages. So we have to prove that  $L = \{tra(x, y) \mid x = 1.y\}$  is not recognizable. Using the translation, we see that

$$L \cap \{t_i \sigma \mid t_i = 00(i \perp (x_1, ..., x_k), y_2, ..., y_k), i \in \{0, 1\}, \sigma \text{ closed substitution}\}$$
$$= \{tra(x, y) \mid x = 1.y \land y \in \{2, ..., k\}. \{1, ..., k\}^*\} = L'$$

So it is enough to prove that L' is not recognizable. Now elements of L' are of the form :



with  $p \in \{2, ..., k\}$ .  $\{1, ..., k\}^*$ , t and s injective and the height of t and s strictly increasing with p. You can reason by contradiction using the pumping lemma : for p large enough, using the pumping lemma, you can iterate a piece of t(p) without touching s(p) (or vice versa) while staying in L' which is absurd by injectivity.

# Exercise 3: Let's try to minimize

We consider the complete DFTA on  $\mathcal{F} = \{f/2, g/2, a/0, b/0\}$  with states  $\{q_a, q_b, q_f, q_g, \top, \bot\}$ , finale state  $\top$  and transitions :

- $-a \longrightarrow q_a$
- $b \longrightarrow q_b$
- $\begin{array}{c} & f(q_a, q_b) \longrightarrow q_f \\ & f(q_f, q_b) \longrightarrow \top \end{array}$
- $-g(q_a,q_a) \longrightarrow q_q$
- $-f(q_g,q_b) \longrightarrow \top$

 $- h(q,q') \longrightarrow \top$  if  $h \in \{f,g\}$ , and  $q = \top$  or  $q' = \top$ .

 $-h(q,q') \longrightarrow \perp$  in all other cases.

Give the corresponding minimized algorithm obtained through the partition refinement algorithm.

# Solution:

The initial partitioning is  $P = \{(\top), (q_a, q_b, q_g, q_f, \bot)\}.$ 

Then, we can for instance distinguish  $q_a$  with  $q_f$  as  $f(q_f, q_b) \longrightarrow \top$  but  $Tf(q_a, q_b) \longrightarrow \bot$ and we do not have  $(\perp P \perp)$ . This argument is also valid for  $(q_b, q_f), (q_b, q_g), (q_a, q_b), (q_a, q_g), (q$ and  $(\perp)$ . However, we have that  $f(q_f, q_b) \longrightarrow \top$  and  $Tf(q_g, q_b) \longrightarrow \top$ , so we have  $(q_f P'q_g)$ . Finally, at the end of the first loop,  $P' = \{(\top), (q_b), (q_a), (\bot), (q_f, q_g)\}$ . If we try once more, it is stable, so we have the minimal automaton by merging  $q_f$  and  $q_a$ .

# Exercise 4: To the infinity...

Let  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ . Define a DFHA  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $L(\mathcal{A})$  is the set of all trees such that "for every leaf labeled with a, there is an ancestor from which there is a path whose nodes are labeled with b". Here "ancestor" means strict ancestor and "from which there is a path" means that there is a path from a son of this ancestor to a leaf.

## Solution:

 $Q = \{q_a, q_b, q_{\top}\}, F = \{q_b, q_{\top}\} \text{ and } \Delta =$  $\star a(\epsilon) \longrightarrow q_a$  $\star a(q_{\perp}^+) \longrightarrow q_{\perp}$  $\star a((q_{\top} + q_a)^* q_a (q_{\top} + q_a)^*) \longrightarrow q_a$  $\begin{array}{l} \star \ b(q_{\top}^{+}) \longrightarrow q_{\top} \\ \star \ b(\epsilon) \longrightarrow q_{b} \end{array}$  $\star a(Q^*q_bQ^*) \longrightarrow q_\top$  $\star \ b(Q^*q_bQ^*) \longrightarrow q_b$  $\star \ b((q_{\top} + q_a)^* q_a (q_{\top} + q_a)^*) \longrightarrow q_a$