

Automates d'arbre

TD n°2 : Decision problems & tree homomorphisms

September 19, 2017

Exercise 1 : Back from TD1 : an abstract language.

- 1) Let \mathcal{E} be a finite set of linear terms on $T(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{X})$. Prove that $Red(\mathcal{E}) = \{C[t\sigma] \mid C \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{F}), t \in \mathcal{E}, \sigma \text{ ground substitution}\}$ is recognizable.
- 2) Prove that if \mathcal{E} contains only ground terms, then one can construct a DFTA recognizing $Red(\mathcal{E})$ whose number of states is at most $n + 2$, where n is the number of nodes of \mathcal{E} .

Solution:

- 1) Do the case where \mathcal{E} is a singleton $\{t\}$, t linear (the general case can be deduced by finite union). $Red(\{t\})$ is recognized by the following NFTA : $Q = \{q_{\perp}\} \cup Pos(t)$, $F = \{\epsilon\}$ and $\Delta =$
 - * $f(q_1, \dots, q_n) \longrightarrow q_{\perp}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $q_1, \dots, q_n \in Q$
 - * $q_{\perp} \longrightarrow p$ for all $p \in Pos(t)$ such that $t(p)$ is a variable
 - * $f(p.1, \dots, p.n) \longrightarrow p$ if $t(p) = f$
 - * $f(q_1, \dots, q_n) \longrightarrow \epsilon$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $q_1, \dots, q_n \in Q$ such that there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $q_i = \epsilon$
- 2) Let $St(\mathcal{E})$ be the set of all subterms of a term in \mathcal{E} . Then the following DFTA works : $Q = \{q_t \mid t \in St(\mathcal{E})\} \cup \{q_{\perp}, q_{\top}\}$, $F = \{q_{\top}\}$ and $\Delta =$
 - * $f(q_{t_1}, \dots, q_{t_n}) \longrightarrow q_{f(t_1, \dots, t_n)}$ if $f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in St(\mathcal{E}) \setminus \mathcal{E}$
 - * $f(q_{t_1}, \dots, q_{t_n}) \longrightarrow q_{\top}$ if $f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathcal{E}$
 - * $f(q_{t_1}, \dots, q_{t_n}) \longrightarrow q_{\perp}$ else
 - * $f(q_1, \dots, q_n) \longrightarrow q_{\top}$ if there is at least one $q_i = q_{\top}$
 - * $f(q_1, \dots, q_n) \longrightarrow q_{\perp}$ else

Exercise 2 : Back from TD1 : Commutative closure

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f(2), a(0), b(0)\}$.

- 1) Let L_1 be the smallest set such that :

- $f(a, b) \in L_1$
- $t \in L_1 \Rightarrow f(a, f(t, b)) \in L_1$

Prove that L_1 is recognizable.

- 2) Prove that $L_2 = \{t \in T(\mathcal{F}) \mid |t|_a = |t|_b\}$ is not recognizable.
- 3) Let L be recognizable on \mathcal{F} and $C(L)$ be the closure of L by the congruence generated by the equation $f(x, y) = f(y, x)$. Prove that $C(L)$ is recognizable.
- 4) Let L be recognizable on \mathcal{F} and $AC(L)$ be the closure of L by the congruence generated by the equations $f(x, y) = f(y, x)$ and $f(x, f(y, z)) = f(f(x, y), z)$. Prove that $AC(L)$ is not recognizable in general.

Solution:

- 1) $Q = \{q_a, q_b, q_f, q_{\top}\}$, $F = \{q_{\top}\}$ and $\Delta =$
 - * $a \longrightarrow q_a$
 - * $b \longrightarrow q_b$
 - * $f(q_a, q_b) \longrightarrow q_{\top}$
 - * $f(q_{\top}, q_b) \longrightarrow q_f$

- ★ $f(q_a, q_f) \longrightarrow q_\top$
- 2) By the pumping lemma.
- 3) Given a NFTA for L , construct a NFTA for $C(L)$ by adding for every rule of the form $f(q, q') \longrightarrow q''$, the rule $f(q', q) \longrightarrow q''$.
- 4) $AC(L_1) = L_2$.

Exercise 3 : Decisions problems

We consider the **(GII)** problem (ground instance intersection) :

Instance : t a term in $T(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{X})$ and \mathcal{A} a NFTA

Question : Is there at least one ground instance of t accepted by \mathcal{A} ?

- 1) Suppose that t is linear. Prove that **(GII)** is P-complete.
- 2) Suppose that \mathcal{A} is deterministic. Prove that **(GII)** is NP-complete.
- 3) Prove that **(GII)** is EXPTIME-complete.

hint : for the hardness, reduce the intersection non-emptiness problem (admitted to be EXPTIME-complete).

- 4) Deduce that the complement problem :

Instance : t a term in $T(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{X})$ and linear terms t_1, \dots, t_n

Question : Is there a ground instance of t which is not an instance of any t_i ?
is decidable.

Solution:

- 1) in P : use a construction similar to exercise 1, intersect with \mathcal{A} and test the non-emptiness.

P-hard : testing the emptiness of \mathcal{A} is equivalent to testing **(GII)** on \mathcal{A} and a variable.

- 2) in NP : guess for each variable an accessible state of \mathcal{A} and verify that you can complete this to an accepting run by running the automata.

NP-hard : We reduce **(SAT)** : let $\mathcal{F} = \{\neg(1), \vee(2), \wedge(2), \perp(0), \top(0)\}$ and \mathcal{A}_{SAT} the DFTA with $Q = \{q_\top, q_\perp\}$, $F = \{q_\top\}$ and $\Delta =$

- ★ $\perp \longrightarrow q_\perp$
- ★ $\top \longrightarrow q_\top$
- ★ $\neg(q_\alpha) \longrightarrow q_{\neg\alpha}$
- ★ $\vee(q_\alpha, q_\beta) \longrightarrow q_{\alpha\vee\beta}$
- ★ $\wedge(q_\alpha, q_\beta) \longrightarrow q_{\alpha\wedge\beta}$

The language of \mathcal{A}_{SAT} is the set of closed valid formulae.

Let ϕ a CNF formula, $\phi = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n c_i$ where c_i are clauses. Define t_{c_i} by induction on the size of c_i :

- if $c_i = x_j$, $t_{c_i} = x_j$
- if $c_i = \neg x_j$, $t_{c_i} = \neg(x_j)$
- if $c_i = x_j \vee c'_i$, $t_{c_i} = \vee(x_j, t_{c'_i})$
- if $c_i = \neg x_j \vee c'_i$, $t_{c_i} = \vee(\neg(x_j), t_{c'_i})$

Then $t_\phi = \wedge(t_{c_1}, \wedge(t_{c_2}, \dots, \wedge(t_{c_{n-1}}, t_{c_n})\dots))$. ϕ is satisfiable iff a closed instance of t_ϕ is recognized by \mathcal{A}_{SAT} .

- 3) in EXP : for each coloring of t by states (exponentially many) :

- check that the coloring of every occurrence of a variable is an accessible state (in P)
- check that the coloring corresponds to an accepting run (in P)
- for every variable, let $\{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ be the set of the colorings of all occurrence of x . Check that $L(\mathcal{A}_{q_1}) \cap \dots \cap L(\mathcal{A}_{q_n})$ is non empty where \mathcal{A}_q is the NFTA obtained from \mathcal{A} by changing the set of final states to $\{q\}$ (in P)

EXP-hard : We reduce intersection non-emptiness : let $(A_k = (Q_k, \mathcal{F}, I_k, \Delta_k))_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ a finite sequence of top-down NFTA (we can transform a bottom-up NFTA to a top-down one in polynomial time). We suppose that all the Q_k are disjoint. Define :

- $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F} \cup \{h(n)\}$

- $t = h(x, \dots, x)$
- $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = (\bigsqcup Q_k \sqcup \{q_0\}, \mathcal{F}', \{q_0\}, \Delta' \sqcup \bigsqcup \Delta_k)$ where

$$\Delta' = \{q_0(h(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \longrightarrow h(q_1(x_1), \dots, q_n(x_n)) \mid \text{for } q_k \in I_k\}$$

Then $L(\mathcal{A}_1) \cap \dots \cap L(\mathcal{A}_n) \neq \emptyset$ iff t has a closed instance in $L(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$.

- 4) Use question 3 and exercise 4 of TD1.

Exercise 4: Stability vs Recognizability

We can see the set of runs of an NFTA $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \mathcal{F}, Q_f, \Delta)$ as a tree language on $\mathcal{F} \times Q = \{(f, q)(n) \mid f(n) \in \mathcal{F}, q \in Q\}$ as the smallest set $Run(\mathcal{A})$ included in $T(\mathcal{F} \times Q)$ such that :

- if $a \rightarrow q \in \Delta$, then $(a, q) \in Run(\mathcal{A})$
- if $f(q_1, \dots, q_n) \rightarrow q \in \Delta$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in Run(\mathcal{A})$ with $t_i(\epsilon) = (_, q_i)$ then $(f, q)(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in Run(\mathcal{A})$.

Then the set of accepting runs can be seen as $Acc(\mathcal{A}) = \{t \in Run(\mathcal{A}) \mid t(\epsilon) = (_, q), q \in Q_f\}$.

- 1) Prove that $Acc(\mathcal{A})$ is in the smallest class **Stab** of sets which contains all the $T(\mathcal{F})$ for any finite ranked set \mathcal{F} and which is stable by image of linear morphisms and inverse image of morphisms. For example, you should be able to prove that $Acc(\mathcal{A}) = \beta^{-1}(\gamma(\delta^{-1}(T(\mathcal{F}'))))$ where γ is linear.
- 2) Deduce that **Stab** = **Rec**.

Solution:

- 1) We define β , γ and δ this way :

- $\delta : T(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}) \longrightarrow T(\mathcal{F}_{\perp})$ where $\mathcal{F}_{\perp} = \mathcal{F} \cup \{q(1) \mid q \in F\} \cup \{\perp(0)\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}} = \{(f, q_1, \dots, q_n, q)(n) \mid f(n) \in \mathcal{F}, q, q_i \in Q\} \cup \{q(1) \mid q \in Q\}$ such that
 - * $q(x) \mapsto q(x)$ if $q \in F$
 - * $q(x) \mapsto \perp$ if $q \notin F$
 - * $(f, q_1, \dots, q_n, q)(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ if $f(q_1, \dots, q_n) \longrightarrow q \in \Delta$
 - * $(f, q_1, \dots, q_n, q)(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \perp$ else
- $\gamma : T(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}}) \longrightarrow T(\mathcal{F}_Q)$ linear where $T(\mathcal{F}_Q) = \mathcal{F} \cup \{q(1) \mid q \in Q\}$ such that :
 - ** $q(x) \mapsto q(x)$
 - ** $(f, q_1, \dots, q_n, q)(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto q(f(q_1(x_1), \dots, q_n(x_n)))$
- $\beta : T(\mathcal{F} \times Q) \longrightarrow T(\mathcal{F}_Q)$ such that :
 - *** $(f, q)(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto q(q(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)))$

Then $Acc(\mathcal{A}) = \beta^{-1}(\gamma(\delta^{-1}(T(\mathcal{F}_{\perp} \setminus \perp))))$.

- 2) **Stab** \subseteq **Rec** : **Rec** is stable under inverse image, linear image and contains all the $T(\mathcal{F})$.

Rec \subseteq **Stab** : Let $L \in \mathbf{Rec}$ and \mathcal{A} a NFTA recognizing L . Define $\alpha : T(\mathcal{F} \times Q) \longrightarrow T(\mathcal{F})$ linear such that :

- *** $(f, q)(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

Then $L = \alpha(Acc(\mathcal{A}))$ and by 1), $L \in \mathbf{Stab}$.