

# Advanced Complexity

TD n°5

Charlie Jacomme

October 11, 2017

## Exercise 1 : P-choice

A language  $L$  is said **P-peek** ( $L \in \text{Pp}$ ) if there is a function  $f : \{0,1\}^* \times \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}^*$  computable in polynomial time such that  $\forall x, y \in \{0,1\}^*$  :

- $f(x, y) \in \{x, y\}$
  - if  $x \in L$  or  $y \in L$  then  $f(x, y) \in L$
- $f$  is called the peeking function for  $L$ .

1. Show that  $\text{P} \subseteq \text{Pp}$
2. Show that  $\text{Pp}$  is closed under complementary
3. Show that if there exist  $L$  NP-hard in  $\text{Pp}$ , then  $\text{P} = \text{NP}$
4. Let  $r \in [0, 1]$  a real number, we define  $L_r$  as the set of words  $b = b_1 \dots b_n \in \{0,1\}^*$  such that  $0.b_1 \dots b_n \leq r$ . Show that  $L_r \in \text{Pp}$
5. Deduce that there exist a non-recursive language in  $\text{Pp}$

## Exercise 2 : Complete problems for levels of PH

Show that the following problem is  $\Sigma_k^P$ -complete (under polynomial time reductions).

- $\Sigma_k^P\text{QBF}$  : • INPUT : A quantified boolean formula  $\psi := \exists X_1 \forall X_2 \exists \dots Q_k X_k \phi(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ , where  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  are  $k$  disjoint sets of variables,  $Q_k$  is the quantifier  $\forall$  if  $k$  is even, and the quantifier  $\exists$  if  $k$  is odd,  $\phi$  is a boolean formula over variables  $X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_k$  ;
- QUESTION : is the input formula true ?

Define a similar problem  $\Pi_k^P\text{QBF}$  such that  $\Pi_k^P\text{QBF}$  is  $\Pi_k^P$ -complete.

## Exercise 3 : Oracle machines

Let  $O$  be a language. A Turing machine with oracle  $O$  is a Turing machine with a special additional read/write tape, called the oracle tape, and three special states :  $q_{query}, q_{yes}, q_{no}$ . Whenever the machine enters the state  $q_{query}$ , with some word  $w$  written on the oracle tape, it moves **in one step** to the state  $q_{yes}$  or  $q_{no}$  depending on whether  $w \in O$ .

We denote by  $\text{P}^O$  (resp.  $\text{NP}^O$ ) the class of languages decided in polynomial time by a deterministic (resp. non-deterministic) Turing machine with Oracle  $O$ . Given a complexity class  $\mathcal{C}$ , we define  $\text{P}^{\mathcal{C}} = \bigcup_{O \in \mathcal{C}} \text{P}^O$  (and similarly for NP).

1. Prove that for any  $\mathcal{C}$ -complete language  $L$ ,  $\text{P}^{\mathcal{C}} = \text{P}^L$  and  $\text{NP}^{\mathcal{C}} = \text{NP}^L$ .
2. Show that for any language  $L$ ,  $\text{P}^L = \text{P}^{\bar{L}}$  and  $\text{NP}^L = \text{NP}^{\bar{L}}$ .
3. Prove that if  $\text{NP} = \text{P}^{\text{SAT}}$  then  $\text{NP} = \text{coNP}$ .

## Exercise 4 : Collapse of PH

1. Prove that if  $\Sigma_k^P = \Sigma_{k+1}^P$  for some  $k \geq 0$  then  $\text{PH} = \Sigma_k^P$ . (Remark that this is implied by  $\text{P} = \text{NP}$ ).
2. Show that if  $\Sigma_k^P = \Pi_k^P$  for some  $k$  then  $\text{PH} = \Sigma_k^P$  (i.e. PH collapses).
3. Show that if  $\text{PH} = \text{PSPACE}$  then PH collapses.
4. Do you think there is a polynomial time procedure to convert any QBF formula into a QBF formula with at most 10 variables ?

## Exercise 5 : Relativization

Show that there is an oracle  $O$  such that  $\text{P}^O = \text{NP}^O$ .