

Note on the operational semantics of Dedukti 2.5

Frédéric Blanqui (Inria) and Guillaume Genestier (LSV)

5 March 2018

Abstract. In this note, we describe an over-approximation of the operational semantics actually implemented in Dedukti [3, 2] and study some of its properties wrt confluence and termination.

Let \mathcal{R} be a set of rules $l \rightarrow r$.

Let $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ be the smallest rewrite relation (*i.e.* stable by substitution and context) containing \mathcal{R} , $\downarrow_{\mathcal{R}} = \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* \leftarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^*$ be the joinability relation, and $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^*$ be the reflexive, symmetric and transitive closure. $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^*$ is the equational theory defined by \mathcal{R} when rules are seen as equations.

As we are going to see that, to decide $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^*$, Dedukti does not use $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ but an extension of it that we are going to describe.

Conditional rewriting

Let a *condition* be a set C of disjoint non-empty lists of variables X , and a *conditional rule* be a triple (l, r, C) where l and r are terms and C is a condition such that $\bigcup C \subseteq \text{Var}(l)$.

A condition checker c maps every relation R and every non-empty list of variables X to a set of substitutions $c(R, X)$ so that, for all families of relations $(R_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $c(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} R_k, X) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} c(R_k, X)$. Examples of condition checkers are:

- the reflexivity checker: $\sigma \in r(R, X)$ if there is t such that, for all $x \in X$, $x\sigma = t$;
- the joinability checker: $\sigma \in j(R, X)$ if there is t such that, for all $x \in X$, $x\sigma R^* t$;
- the Dedukti checker: $\sigma \in d(R, y :: X)$ if, for all $x \in X$, there is t such that $y\sigma R^* t$ and $x\sigma R^* t$;
- the equivalence checker: $\sigma \in e(R, X)$ if there is t such that, for all $x \in X$, $x\sigma(R \cup R^{-1})^* t$.

Given a set \mathcal{S} of conditional rewrite rules and a condition checker c , let $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}c}$ be the smallest rewrite relation such that, for all $(l, r, C) \in \mathcal{S}$ and substitution σ , $l\sigma \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}c} r\sigma$ if $\sigma \in \bigcap_{X \in C} c(\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}c}, X)$.

Note that $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c}$ is defined as a fixpoint reachable by ω -iteration, that is, $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c, i}$ where $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c, 0} = \emptyset$ and $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c, i+1}$ is the smallest rewrite relation such that, for all $(l, r, C) \in \mathcal{S}$ and substitution σ , $l\sigma \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c, i+1} r\sigma$ if $\sigma \in \bigcap_{X \in C} c(\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c, i}, X)$.

Operational semantics of Dedukti 2.5

The operational semantics relative to \mathcal{R} actually implemented in Dedukti can be defined as follows.

Wlog we assume that the set of variables \mathbb{V} is made of two disjoint subsets \mathbb{V}_1 and \mathbb{V}_2 , every variable of \mathcal{R} belonging to \mathbb{V}_1 , and that there is an injection x from words on \mathbb{N} (positions in terms) to \mathbb{V}_2 .

Thanks to this injection, a term t whose variables are all in \mathbb{V}_1 can be transformed into a *linear* term t' whose variables are all in \mathbb{V}_2 : replace each variable x at position p by x_p .

Now, for each term t , we assume given a substitution γ_t mapping every variable x of t to the variable x_p where p is the smallest position in the lexicographic order of the positions where x occurs in t .

Then, Dedukti implements the rewrite relation $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_d}$ where \mathcal{S} is the set of conditional rewrite rules $(l', r\gamma_l, C(l))$ such that $l \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R}$ and $C(l) = \{X(l, x) \mid x \in \text{Var}(l)\}$ where $X(l, x)$ is the list of variables x_p such that $p \in \text{Pos}(x, l)$, ordered lexicographically wrt. p .

For instance, if $fxxx \rightarrow a \in \mathcal{R}$, then $(fx'x''x''', a, \{[x, x', x'']\}) \in \mathcal{S}$. So, Dedukti will reduce $ftuv$ to a if, on the one hand, t and u have a common reduct wrt. $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_d}$, and on the other hand, t and v have a common reduct wrt. $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_d}$.

Lemma 1

1. If $r \subseteq c$, then $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c}$.
2. If $c \subseteq e$, then $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c} \subseteq \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^*$.

Proof.

1. Immediate.
2. We prove that, for all i , $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c, i} \subseteq \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^*$, by induction on i . For $i = 0$, this is immediate since $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c, 0} = \emptyset$. Assume now that $l'\sigma \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c, i+1} r\gamma_l\sigma$. For all $x \in \text{Var}(l)$, there is t such that, for all $p \in \text{Pos}(x, l)$, $x_p\sigma \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c, i}^* t$. So, there is σ' such that $l'\sigma \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c, i}^* l'\sigma' \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} r\sigma' \leftarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c, i}^* r\gamma_l\sigma$. By induction hypothesis, $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c, i} \subseteq \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^*$. Therefore, $l'\sigma \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* r\gamma_l\sigma$. ■

Corollary 2 If $r \subseteq c \subseteq e$, then $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c}^* = \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^*$.

Proof. Since we have $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c} \subseteq \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^*$. ■

This is in particular the case for $c \in \{r, d, j, e\}$ since $r \subseteq j \subseteq d \subseteq e$.

Lemma 3 If $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ has unique normal forms and $r \subseteq c \subseteq e$, then $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c}$ has unique normal forms too and the same normal forms as $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Proof. Since $r \subseteq c$, we have $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c}$ and every term in $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c}$ normal form is in $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ normal form too.

Conversely, assume that $l'\sigma$ is in $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ normal form and $l'\sigma \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c} r\gamma l\sigma$. Let $X \in C(l)$. Since $c \subseteq e$, there is t such that, for all $x \in X$, $x\sigma \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* t$. Since every $x\sigma$ is in $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ normal form and $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ has unique normal forms, there is u such that, for all $x \in X$, $x\sigma = u$. Thus, $l'\sigma$ is not in $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ -normal form. Contradiction.

Assume now that t and u are two $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c}$ normal forms such that $t \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c}^* u$. Then, t and u are two $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ normal forms such that $t \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* u$. Hence, $t = u$. ■

Lemma 4 If $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ is confluent and $r \subseteq c \subseteq j$, then $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c}$ is confluent too and $\downarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c} = \downarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Proof. First, $\leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c}^* = \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* = \downarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ since $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ is confluent. Second $\downarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \downarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c}$ since $r \subseteq c$. Therefore, $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c}$ is confluent. Moreover, $\downarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c} \subseteq \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c}^* = \downarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$. ■

Here is an example of a non-confluent system \mathcal{R} such that $\downarrow_{\mathcal{S}_j} \not\subseteq \downarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$:

Example 1 Take $\mathcal{R} = \{a \rightarrow b, a \rightarrow c, fxx \rightarrow gx\}$. Then, on the one hand, $fab \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}} ga \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}} gc$ and, on the other hand, $fab \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} fcb$ and $fab \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} fbb \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} gb$, which are in normal form wrt $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Note also that we may not have $\downarrow_{\mathcal{S}_d} \subseteq \downarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ if $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ is not confluent as shown by the following example:

Example 2 Take $\mathcal{R} = \{fxxx \rightarrow a, a \rightarrow b, a \rightarrow c\}$. Then, $fabc \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_d} a$ but $fabc \not\downarrow_{\mathcal{R}} a$.

Finally, note that the termination of $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ does not imply the termination of $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c}$ as shown by the following example:

Example 3 Take $\mathcal{R} = \{ga \rightarrow fab, a \rightarrow b, fxx \rightarrow gx\}$. We have $fab \rightarrow_{\mathcal{S}_c} ga \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} fab$. On the other hand, $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ terminates as shown by AProVE [1] as follows:

- The rule $a \rightarrow b$ can be eliminated by using the following monotone polynomial interpretation on \mathbb{N} : $a = 1$, $b = 0$, $f(x, y) = 2x + 2y + 2$, $g(x) = 2x + 2$.
- Then, the rule $ga \rightarrow fab$ can be eliminated by taking the following polynomial interpretation on \mathbb{N} : $a = 1$, $b = 0$, $f(x, y) = x + 2y + 2$, $g(x) = 2x + 2$.
- Finally, $fxx \rightarrow gx$ is proved terminating by taking MPO with $f > g$.

References

- [1] <http://aprove.informatik.rwth-aachen.de/>, 2018.
- [2] A. Assaf, G. Burel, R. Cauderlier, D. Delahaye, G. Dowek, C. Dubois, F. Gilbert, P. Halmagrand, O. Hermant, and R. Saillard. Dedukti: a Logical Framework based on the $\lambda\Pi$ -Calculus Modulo Theory, 2016. Draft.
- [3] <https://deducteam.github.io/>, 2018.