Dependency Pairs Termination in Dependent Type Theory Modulo Rewriting

Frédéric Blanqui¹², Guillaume Genestier²³, and Olivier Hermant³

¹ INRIA

² LSV, ENS Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay ³ MINES ParisTech, PSL University

We introduce a termination criterion for a large class of programs whose operational semantics can be described by higher-order rewriting rules typable in the $\lambda\Pi$ -calculus modulo rewriting ($\lambda\Pi/\mathcal{R}$ for short).

 $\lambda \Pi/\mathcal{R}$ is a system of dependent types where types are identified modulo the β -reduction of λ -calculus and rewriting rules given by the user to define not only functions but also types. Those rewriting rules can be non-orthogonal, meaning that they can overlap or be non-linear. An example including overlapping and a type defined by rewriting rules is the propositional integer comparison:

```
symbol Prop : TYPEsymbol \perp : Propsymbol \top : Propsymbol Prf : Prop \Rightarrow TYPEsymbol infix \leq : Nat \Rightarrow Nat \Rightarrow Proprule Prf \top \rightarrow IIc:Prop. Prf crule (s x) \leq 0\rightarrow \perprule Prf \perp \rightarrow IIc:Prop. Prf crule 0 \leq y\rightarrow \toprule x \leq x \rightarrow \toprule (s x) \leq (s y) \rightarrow x \leq y
```

Dependency pairs are a key concept at the core of modern automated termination provers for first-order term rewriting systems. Arts and Giesl [2] proved that a first-order rewriting relation terminates if and only if there are no infinite chains, that are sequences of dependency pairs interleaved with reductions in the arguments. We extend this notion of dependency pair to higher-order rewriting. Then we prove that, for a large class of rewriting systems \mathcal{R} , the combination of β and \mathcal{R} is strongly normalizing on terms typable in $\lambda \Pi/\mathcal{R}$ if, there is no infinite chain.

To do so, we first construct a model of this calculus based on an adaptation of Girard's reducibility candidates [5], and prove that every typable term is strongly normalizing if every symbol of the signature is in the interpretation of its type (Adequacy lemma). We then prove that this hypothesis is verified if there is no infinite chain.

Our criterion has been implemented in SIZECHANGETOOL. For now, it takes as input XTC (used for the termination competition) or DEDUKTI files, but it could be easily adapted to a subset of other languages like AGDA. As far as we know, this tool is the first one to automatically check termination in $\lambda \Pi / \mathcal{R}$, which includes both higher-order rewriting and dependent types.

Definition 1 $(\lambda \Pi/\mathcal{R})$. $\lambda \Pi/\mathcal{R}$ is the PTS λP [3], enriched by a finite signature \mathbb{F} and a set \mathcal{R} of rules $(\Delta, f \vec{l} \to r)$ such that $FV(r) \subseteq FV(l)$ and Δ is a context associating a type to every variable of \vec{l} . Each $f \in \mathbb{F}$ has a type Θ_f and a sort s_f . f is (partially) defined if it is the head of the left-hand side of a rule.

Let $\rightarrow = \rightarrow_{\beta} \cup \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ where \rightarrow_{β} is the β -reduction of λ -calculus and $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ is the smallest relation containing \mathcal{R} and closed by substitution and context. The typing rules are the ones of λP too, but the conversion is enriched with \mathcal{R} and function symbol introduction is similar to variable introduction.

$$(\text{conv}) \frac{\Gamma \vdash a : A \qquad A \to^* * \leftarrow B \qquad \Gamma \vdash B : s}{\Gamma \vdash a : B} \quad (\text{fun}) \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Theta_f : s_f}{\Gamma \vdash f : \Theta_f}$$

Dependency Pairs in Dependent Type Theory Modulo Rewriting

We assume that \rightarrow is locally confluent and preserves typing. For all f, we require $\vdash \Theta_f : s_f$. As a β step can generate an \mathcal{R} step, and vice versa, we cannot expect to prove the termination of $\rightarrow_{\beta} \cup \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ from the termination of \rightarrow_{β} and $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$. The termination of $\lambda \Pi / \mathcal{R}$ cannot be reduced to the termination of the simply-typed λ -calculus either because of type-level rewriting rules.

So we build a model of our calculus by interpreting types into sets of terminating terms, adapting Girard's candidates [5]. To do so, we assume given a well-founded order on symbols of sort \Box . This interpretation is such that if f is a function symbol of type $\Pi(\vec{x}:\vec{T}).U\vec{y}$, then $f\vec{x} \in \llbracket U\vec{y} \rrbracket$ implies $x_i \in \llbracket T_i \rrbracket$ if i is an accessible position in f (accessibility is similar to the constraint of positivity of inductive types, for rewriting; see [4] for a definition).

We then extend this definition to interpret all types, such that if $T \to U$, then $\llbracket T \rrbracket = \llbracket U \rrbracket$. We use $\sigma \models \Gamma$ to denote that for all x : T in Γ , $\sigma(x) \in \llbracket T \rrbracket_{\sigma}$.

Lemma 2 (Adequacy). Assuming for all $f, f \in \llbracket \Theta_f \rrbracket$, if $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $\sigma \models \Gamma$, then $t\sigma \in \llbracket T \rrbracket_{\sigma}$.

The hypothesis "for all $f, f \in \llbracket \Theta_f \rrbracket$ " can be reduced to the absence of infinite chains, as shown by Arts and Giesl for first-order rewriting [2].

Definition 3 (Dependency pairs). Let $f \vec{l} > g \vec{m}$ iff there is a rule $f \vec{l} \to r \in \mathcal{R}$, g is (partially) defined and $g \vec{m}$ is a maximally applied subterm of r.

 $f t_1 \dots t_p \tilde{>} g u_1 \dots u_q$ iff there are a dependency pair $f l_1 \dots l_i > g m_1 \dots m_j$ with $i \leq p$ and $j \leq q$ and a substitution σ such that, for all $k \leq i, t_k \to^* l_k \sigma$ and, for all $k \leq j, m_k \sigma = u_k$.

We consider a pre-order \succeq on \mathbb{F} compatible with typing and rewriting (*ie.* if $g \in \Theta_f$ or $r \rhd g$ for $f \vec{l} \to r \in \mathcal{R}$, then $f \succeq g$). \mathcal{R} is well-structured and accessible if for every rule $(\Delta, f \vec{l} \to r), r$ is typable using only symbols smaller or equal to f and for every substitution σ , if $\Theta_f = \Pi \vec{x} : \vec{T}.U$, then $[\vec{x} \mapsto \vec{l}]\sigma \models \vec{x} : \vec{T}$ implies $\sigma \models \Delta$.

Theorem 4. The relation $\rightarrow = \rightarrow_{\beta} \cup \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}$ terminates on terms typable in $\lambda \Pi / \mathcal{R}$ if \rightarrow is locally confluent and preserves typing, \mathcal{R} is well-structured and accessible, and $\tilde{>}$ terminates.

Following [4], accessibility can be checked, by imposing that every variable occurring in the right-hand side of a rule is accessible in the left-hand side. Following [7], to prove that $\tilde{>}$ terminates, we can use Lee, Jones and Ben-Amram's size-change termination criterion [6].

Thus, we obtain a modular criterion extending Arts and Giesl's theorem that a rewriting relation terminates if there are no infinite chains [2] from first-order rewriting to dependently-typed higher-order rewriting.

This result also extends Wahlstedt's work [7] from weak to strong normalisation. Like Wahlstedt's work, AGDA's termination checker [1] is designed for rules defined by constructors pattern matching, enforcing the rewriting system to be orthogonal and every definition to be total. Our criterion requires a much weaker condition: local confluence.

References

- [1] A. Abel. foetus Termination Checker for Simple Functional Programs. 1998
- [2] T. Arts, J. Giesl. Termination of term rewriting using dependency pairs. TCS 236:133-178, 2000.
- H. Barendregt. Lambda calculi with types. In Handbook of logic in computer science. Volume 2. Background: computational structures, p. 117–309. Oxford University Press, 1992.
- [4] F. Blanqui. Definitions by rewriting in the calculus of constructions. MSCS 15(1):37–92, 2005.
- [5] J.-Y. Girard, Y. Lafont, P. Taylor. *Proofs and types*. Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [6] C. S. Lee, N. Jones, A. Ben-Amram. The size-change principle for program termination. POPL'01.
- [7] D. Wahlstedt. Dependent type theory with first-order parameterized data types and well-founded recursion. PhD thesis, Chalmers University of Technology, 2007.