## TD 5: Büchi Automata and LTL Model-Checking

**Exercise 1** (Synchronous Büchi Transducers). Give unambigous synchronous Büchi transducers for the following formulæ:

- 1. SF q
- 2. SG q
- 3.  $G(p \rightarrow Fq)$

**Exercise 2** (Closure by Complementation). The purpose of this exercise is to prove that  $\operatorname{Rec}(\Sigma^{\omega})$  is closed under complement. We consider for this a Büchi automaton  $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$ , and want to prove that its complement language  $\overline{L(\mathcal{A})}$  is in  $\operatorname{Rec}(\Sigma^{\omega})$ .

We write  $q \xrightarrow{u} q'$  for q, q' in Q and  $u = a_1 \cdots a_n$  in  $\Sigma^*$  if there exists a sequence of states  $q_0, \ldots, q_n$  such that  $q_0 = q, q_n = q'$  and for all  $0 \le i < n, (q_i, a_{i+1}, q_{i+1})$  is in T. We write in the same way  $q \xrightarrow{u}_F q'$  if furthermore at least one of the states  $q_0, \ldots, q_n$  belongs to F.

We define the *congruence*  $\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$  over  $\Sigma^*$  by

$$u \sim_{\mathcal{A}} v \text{ iff } \forall q, q' \in Q, \ (q \xrightarrow{u} q' \Leftrightarrow q \xrightarrow{v} q') \text{ and } (q \xrightarrow{u}_F q' \Leftrightarrow q \xrightarrow{v}_F q').$$

- 1. Show that  $\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$  has finitely many congruence classes [u], for u in  $\Sigma^*$ .
- 2. Show that each [u] for u in  $\Sigma^*$  is in  $\operatorname{Rec}(\Sigma^*)$ , i.e. is a regular language of finite words.
- 3. Consider the language K(L) for  $L \subseteq \Sigma^{\omega}$

$$K(L) = \bigcup_{\substack{u,v \in \Sigma^* \\ [u][v]^{\omega} \cap L \neq \emptyset}} [u][v]^{\omega}$$

Show that K(L) is in  $\operatorname{Rec}(\Sigma^{\omega})$  for any  $L \subseteq \Sigma^{\omega}$ .

- 4. Show that  $K(L(\mathcal{A})) \subseteq L(\mathcal{A})$  and  $K(\overline{L(\mathcal{A})}) \subseteq \overline{L(\mathcal{A})}$ .
- 5. Prove that for any infinite word  $\sigma$  in  $\Sigma^{\omega}$  there exist u and v in  $\Sigma^*$  such that  $\sigma$  belongs to  $[u][v]^{\omega}$ . The following theorem might come in handy when applied to couples of positions (i, j) inside  $\sigma$ :

**Theorem 1** (Ramsey, infinite version). Let  $E = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid i < j\}$ , and  $c : E \to \{1, \ldots, k\}$  a k-coloring of E. There exists an infinite set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and a color  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$  such that for all  $(n, m) \in A^2$  with n < m, c(n, m) = i.

6. Conclude.

**Exercise 3** (Model Checking a Path). Consider the time flow  $(\mathbb{N}, <)$ . We want to verify a model which is an ultimately periodic word  $w = uv^{\omega}$  with u in  $\Sigma^*$  and v in  $\Sigma^+$ , where  $\Sigma = 2^{\text{AP}}$ .

Give an algorithm for checking whether  $w, 0 \models \varphi$  holds, where  $\varphi$  is a LTL(AP, X, U) formula, in time bounded by  $O(|uv| \cdot |\varphi|)$ . *Hint: reduce this to a CTL model-checking problem.* 

**Exercise 4** (Complexity of LTL(F)). Fix  $\Sigma = 2^{AP}$  and let  $w = w_0 w_1 w_2 \cdots$  be an infinite word in  $\Sigma^{\omega}$ . Let

$$\mathsf{alph}(w) = \{a \in \Sigma \mid |w|_a \ge 1\}$$

be the set of letters appearing in w and

$$\inf(w) = \{a \in \Sigma \mid |w|_a = \infty\}$$

be the set of letters appearing infinitely often in w. We consider *decompositions*  $u \cdot v$  in  $\Sigma^* \times \Sigma^\infty$  (where  $\Sigma^\infty = \Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^\omega$ ) such that  $\mathsf{alph}(v) = \mathsf{inf}(v)$ ; this definition enforces that either  $v = \varepsilon$  or v is in  $\Sigma^\omega$ . Given an infinite word w there exists a unique decomposition  $w = u \cdot v$  with  $u \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $v \in (\mathsf{inf}(w))^\omega$ , and u of minimal length.

Define the size  $||u \cdot v||$  of a decomposition pair  $u \cdot v$  as  $||u \cdot v|| = |u| + |\inf(v)|$ . Our goal is, for any satisfiable  $\varphi$  in LTL(F), to prove the existence of a model  $w = u \cdot v$  with  $||u \cdot v|| \leq |\varphi|$ .

- 1. Consider an infinite word w decomposed as  $u \cdot v$  and two indices  $i, j \ge |u|$  with  $w_i = w_j$ ; show that for all  $\varphi$  in LTL(F),  $w, i \models \varphi$  iff  $w, j \models \varphi$ .
- 2. Let w, w' be two infinite words decomposed as  $u \cdot v$  and  $u \cdot v'$  (thus with a shared initial prefix) with  $\inf(w) = \inf(w')$  and  $w_0 = w'_0$  (necessary in case  $u = \varepsilon$ ). Show that for all  $\varphi$  in LTL(F),  $w, 0 \models \varphi$  iff  $w', 0 \models \varphi$ .

Let  $\Sigma^{\infty} = \Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^{\omega}$ . For a word  $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\infty}$ , denote by  $\mathbb{T}_{\sigma}$  the set of positions of  $\sigma$ :  $\mathbb{T}_{\sigma} = \mathbb{N}$  if  $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\omega}$ , and  $\mathbb{T}_{\sigma} = \{0, \ldots, |\sigma| - 1\}$  if  $\sigma \in \Sigma^*$ .

Let  $\sigma, \sigma'$  be words in  $\Sigma^{\infty}$ ;  $\sigma'$  is a subword of  $\sigma$ , noted  $\sigma' \leq \sigma$ , if there exists a monotone injection  $f_{\sigma'} : \mathbb{T}_{\sigma'} \to \mathbb{T}_{\sigma}$  s.t. for all  $i \in \mathbb{T}_{\sigma'}, \sigma'_i = \sigma_{f_{\sigma'}(i)}$ . We denote by  $R_{\sigma'} = f_{\sigma'}(\mathbb{T}_{\sigma'})$  the set of preserved positions. Note that for every  $R \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{\sigma}$ , there exists a unique  $\sigma' \leq \sigma$  and  $f_{\sigma'}$  such that  $R_{\sigma'} = R$ .

Given a decomposition  $u \cdot v$ , a subdecomposition  $u' \cdot v'$  is a decomposition such that  $u' \leq u$  and  $v' \leq v$  (by definition this enforces alph(v') = inf(v')). We write  $R_{u'\cdot v'}$  for  $R_{u'} \cup \{|u'| + i \mid i \in R_{v'}\}$ ; this is compatible with the notion of subwords on the words  $w' = u' \cdot v'$  and  $w = u \cdot v$ .

3. Given two subdecompositions  $u_1 \cdot v_1$  and  $u_2 \cdot v_2$  of some decomposition  $u \cdot v$ , show that  $u' \cdot v'$  with  $R_{u'} = R_{u_1} \cup R_{u_2}$  and  $R_{v'} = R_{v_1} \cup R_{v_2}$  is a subdecomposition of  $u \cdot v$  that verifies  $||u' \cdot v'|| \leq ||u_1 \cdot v_1|| + ||u_2 \cdot v_2||$ . 4. Consider a formula  $\varphi$  in LTL(F). We denote by  $m(\varphi)$  the number of F modalities in  $\varphi$ . Show that  $\varphi$  can be transformed into an equivalent formula  $\psi \in \text{NNF}(\mathsf{F},\mathsf{G})$ such that  $m(\psi) \leq m(\varphi)$ , where  $\text{NNF}(\mathsf{F},\mathsf{G})$  is the set of formulæ in negative normal form (where negations only occur in front of atomic fomulæ) using only F and G modalities:

$$\psi ::= p \mid \neg p \mid \psi \lor \psi \mid \psi \land \psi \mid \mathsf{F} \psi \mid \mathsf{G} \psi$$

- 5. Let w be an infinite word in  $\Sigma^{\omega}$  decomposed as  $w = u \cdot v$  and let  $\psi$  in NNF(F, G). Show by induction on  $\psi$  that, for all subdecompositions  $u' \cdot v'$  of  $u \cdot v$  s.t. for all  $i \in R_{u' \cdot v'}, w, i \models \psi$ , there exists a subdecomposition  $\sigma \cdot \tau$  of  $u \cdot v$  of size  $\|\sigma \cdot \tau\| \leq m(\psi)$  such that, for all subdecompositions  $\sigma' \cdot \tau'$  of  $u \cdot v$  for which  $\sigma \cdot \tau$  is a sub-subdecomposition, and for all  $i \in R_{u' \cdot v'} \cap R_{\sigma' \cdot \tau'}, \sigma' \cdot \tau', f_{\sigma' \cdot \tau'}^{-1}(i) \models \psi$ .
- 6. Show that for all satisfiable  $\varphi$  in LTL(F), there exists  $w = u \cdot v$  with  $||u \cdot v|| \le |\varphi|$  such that  $w, 0 \models \varphi$ .
- 7. Show that SAT(LTL(F)) and  $MC^{\exists}(LTL(F))$  are in NP.
- 8. Show that SAT(LTL(F)) and  $MC^{\exists}(LTL(F))$  are NP-hard.