TD 8: Partial-Order Reduction

Exercise 1. Consider the following transition system with state set $S = \{s_0, \ldots, s_7\}$ and transition alphabet $\Delta = \{a, b, c, d\}$:

- 1. Compute the independance set I and the set of invisible actions U.
- 2. Propose an assignment $red: S \to 2^{\Delta}$ of ample sets satisfying conditions $C_0 C_3$ of the lecture notes.

Reminder:

- (C0) $red(s) = \emptyset$ iff $en(s) = \emptyset$.
- (C1) For every path $s \xrightarrow{a_1} s_1 \xrightarrow{a_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{a_n} s_n \xrightarrow{a} t$ in \mathcal{K} (for any $n \ge 0$), if $a \notin red(s)$ and a depends on some action in red(s) (i.e. there exists $b \in red(s)$ such that $(a,b) \notin I$), then there exists $1 \le i \le n$ such that $a_i \in red(s)$.
- (C2) If $red(s) \neq en(s)$, then all actions in red(s) are invisible.
- (C3) For all cycles in the reduced system \mathcal{K}' , the following holds: if $a \in en(s)$ for some state s in the cycle, then $a \in red(s')$ for some (possibly other) state s' in the cycle.



Exercise 2.

- 1. Consider the condition (C''_1) : for any s with $red(s) \neq en(s)$, any a in red(s) is independent from every b in $en(s) \setminus red(s)$. Show that (C_1) implies (C''_1) , but $(C_0), (C''_1), (C_2), (C_3)$ is not sufficient to ensure that the reduced system \mathcal{K}' is stuttering equivalent to \mathcal{K} .
- 2. Show that (C_0) - (C_2) does not ensure stuttering equivalence.

Exercise 3. Let φ be an LTL formula. We define the X-depth $d_X(\varphi)$ and the U-depth $d_U(\varphi)$ of φ as the maximal nesting of X- or U-operators in φ :

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathsf{X}}(p) &= 0 & d_{\mathsf{U}}(p) = 0 \\ d_{\mathsf{X}}(\neg \varphi) &= d_{\mathsf{X}}(\varphi) & d_{\mathsf{U}}(\neg \varphi) = d_{\mathsf{U}}(\varphi) \\ d_{\mathsf{X}}(\varphi \land \psi) &= \max(d_{\mathsf{X}}(\varphi), d_{\mathsf{X}}(\psi)) & d_{\mathsf{U}}(\varphi \land \psi) = \max(d_{\mathsf{U}}(\varphi), d_{\mathsf{U}}(\psi)) \\ d_{\mathsf{X}}(\mathsf{X} \varphi) &= 1 + d_{\mathsf{X}}(\varphi) & d_{\mathsf{U}}(\mathsf{X} \varphi) = d_{\mathsf{U}}(\varphi) \\ d_{\mathsf{X}}(\varphi \lor \psi) &= \max(d_{\mathsf{X}}(\varphi), d_{\mathsf{X}}(\psi)) & d_{\mathsf{U}}(\varphi \lor \psi) = 1 + \max(d_{\mathsf{U}}(\varphi), d_{\mathsf{U}}(\psi)) \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $LTL(U^m, X^n)$ the set of LTL formulas φ with $d_X(\varphi) \leq n$ and $d_U(\varphi) \leq m$, where $n = \infty$ or $m = \infty$ indicates no restriction of the operator in question.

1. We say that two words $w, w' \in \Sigma^{\omega}$ are *n*-stutter-equivalent if there exists letters $a_0, a_1, \ldots \in \Sigma$ and $f, g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $w = a_0^{f(0)} a_1^{f(1)} \ldots, w' = a_0^{g(0)} a_1^{g(1)} \ldots$, and for all $i \ge 0$, $a_i = a_{i+1}$ implies $a_i = a_j$ for all j > i, and $f(i) \le n+1$ or $g(i) \le n+1$ implies f(i) = g(i).

Show that for all $n \ge 0$ and $\varphi \in LTL(U^{\infty}, X^n)$, $L(\varphi)$ is closed under *n*-stutter-equivalence.

2. A similar principle can be formulated when the U-depth is restricted, by considering stuttering of factors instead of letters. Show that for all $m \ge 1$ and $\varphi \in \text{LTL}(\mathsf{U}^m,\mathsf{X}^0)$, for all $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ and $w \in \Sigma^{\omega}$, we have $uv^m w \in L(\varphi)$ iff $uv^{m+1}w \in L(\varphi)$.

Exercise 4. Let $\mathcal{K} = (S, A, \rightarrow, r, AP, \nu)$ be a deterministic labelled Kripke structure, I an independence set, U a set of invisible action, and for all $s, red(s) \subseteq en(s)$. We let $V = A \setminus U$ be the set of visible actions. Assume that red satisfies the following conditions:

(C1) For every path $s \xrightarrow{a_1} s_1 \xrightarrow{a_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{a_n} s_n \xrightarrow{a} t$ in \mathcal{K} (for any $n \ge 0$), if $a \notin red(s)$ and a depends on some action in red(s) (i.e. there exists $b \in red(s)$ such that $(a, b) \notin I$), then there exists $1 \le i \le n$ such that $a_i \in red(s)$.

- (C4) $(V \times V) \cap I = \emptyset$.
- (C5) If $red(s) \neq en(s)$, then red(s) contains at least one visible action.
- (C5) If $red(s) \neq en(s)$, then red(s) contains at least one invisible action.
 - 1. Show that for all $s \in S$, $en(s) \setminus red(s) \subseteq U$.
 - 2. Show that for all $s \in S$, if there is an infinite invisible path in \mathcal{K} starting at s, then there is also an infinite invisible path in the reduced system \mathcal{K}' starting at s.
 - 3. Show that \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{K}' are stuttering equivalent.