

Homework 2

To hand in on September 28th at 14:00, during the exercise session or by mail at `marie.fortin@lsv.fr`.

Exercise 1 (Equivalences). We fix a set of atomic propositions including $\{p, q\}$. Which of the following equivalences are correct for the time flow $(\mathbb{N}, <)$? for $(\mathbb{R}, <)$? for finite trees? Give a proof or a counter-example.

1. $(Xp) \wedge (Xq) \equiv X(p \wedge q)$
2. $Fp \equiv p \vee XFp$
3. $Gp \wedge XFP \equiv Gp$
4. $X(p \cup q) \equiv (Xp) \cup (Xq)$
5. $G(p \cup q) \equiv (Gp) \cup (Gq)$

Exercise 2 (Specification). We fix a set of propositions $AP = \{\text{ok, crash, alarm, reset}\}$ and the time flow $(\mathbb{N}, <)$. Provide formulæ for the following properties (a) in $FO(AP, <)$, (b) in $TL(AP, SU, SS)$ (your formula should use past modalities), and (c) in $TL(AP, SU)$.

1. “Whenever the alarm rings, there has been a crash immediately before.”
2. “Whenever the alarm rings, there has been a crash some time before, and no reset in the meantime.”