

Basic category theory - Monads

Gouter des doctorants

Jérémy DUBUT

LSV, ENS Cachan

Friday, 3rd April, 2015

Monads (in programming languages)

monads in Kleisli triples formulation

a *type constructor* $M : Type \rightarrow Type$

a *unit function* $return_A : A \rightarrow M A$

a *binding operation*
 $bind_{A,B} : M A \rightarrow (A \rightarrow M B) \rightarrow M B$

Exception monad

type $M A = Val\ of\ A \mid Exn$

let $return\ a = Val\ a$

let rec $bind\ E\ f = match\ E\ with$
| $Exn\ -> Exn$
| $Val\ a\ -> f\ a$

satisfying :

$$bind(return\ x, f) = f\ x$$

$$bind(x, return) = x$$

$$bind(bind(x, f), g) = bind(x, \lambda y. bind(f\ y, g))$$

Example II : list monad

- type $M\ A = [] \mid x : :L\ \text{of}\ A^*M\ A$
- let $\text{return}\ a = a : :[]$
- let $\text{rec}\ \text{bind}\ L\ f = \text{match}\ L\ \text{with}$
 - | $[] \rightarrow []$
 - | $x : :K \rightarrow \text{cat}\ (f\ x)\ (\text{bind}\ K\ f)$where $\text{rec}\ \text{cat}\ L\ K = \text{match}\ L\ \text{with}$
 - | $[] \rightarrow []$
 - | $x : :J \rightarrow x : :(\text{cat}\ J\ K)$

Programming languages as categories

From a programming language (for example simply typed lambda-calculus), we can construct a category **Type** :

- $Ob(\mathbf{Type})$ is the class of types
- $\mathbf{Type}(A, B)$ is the set of programs of type $A \longrightarrow B$ modulo $\beta\eta$ equivalence
- id_A is the identity function $\lambda x.x$
- composition is the composition of programs $Q \circ P = \lambda x.Q (P x)$

Categorical view of Kleisli triples I : the type constructor functor

M can be extended to a functor $M : \mathbf{Type} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Type} :$

$$M P = \lambda x : M A. \text{bind}(x, \lambda y : A. \text{return } (P y)) : M A \longrightarrow M B$$

with P of type $A \longrightarrow B$.

Categorical view of Kleisli triples II : the unit natural transformation

$return_A$ is a program of type $A \rightarrow M A$. In fact, $return : Id \rightarrow M$ is a natural transformation i.e. :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M A & \xrightarrow{M P} & M B \\ \uparrow return_A & & \uparrow return_B \\ A & \xrightarrow{P} & B \end{array}$$

$$\lambda x. bind(return\ x, \lambda y. return\ (P\ y)) = \lambda x. return\ (P\ x)$$

Categorical view of Kleisli triples III : the multiplication natural transformation

We have a program of type $M (M A) \rightarrow M A$:

$$\mu_A = \lambda x : M (M A). \text{bind}(x, \lambda y : M A. y)$$

This defines a natural transformation $\mu : M \circ M \rightarrow M$ i.e. :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M A & \xrightarrow{M P} & M B \\ \mu_A \uparrow & & \uparrow \mu_B \\ M (M A) & \xrightarrow{M (M P)} & M (M B) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda x. \text{bind}(\text{bind}(x, \lambda z. z), \lambda y. \text{return}(P y)) = \\ & \lambda x. \text{bind}(\text{bind}(x, \lambda y. \text{return}(\text{bind}(y, \lambda w. P w))), \lambda z. z) \end{aligned}$$

Categorical view of Kleisli triples IV : the monad laws

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M(MA) & \xrightarrow{\mu_A} & MA \\ \uparrow M\mu_A & & \uparrow \mu_A \\ M(M(MA)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{(MA)}} & M(MA) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M(MA) & \xrightarrow{\mu_A} & MA \\ \uparrow M\text{return}_A & \nearrow id_{(MA)} & \uparrow \mu_A \\ MA & \xrightarrow{\text{return}_{(MA)}} & M(MA) \end{array}$$