

Tuesday

**Exercise 1** Consider a language with three sorts of terms: point, line and scalar, two predicate symbols  $=$  with arity  $\langle \text{scalar}, \text{scalar} \rangle$  and  $\in$  with arity  $\langle \text{point}, \text{line} \rangle$  and two function symbols  $d$ , distance, with arity  $\langle \text{point}, \text{point}, \text{scalar} \rangle$  and  $b$ , bisector, with arity  $\langle \text{point}, \text{point}, \text{line} \rangle$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the set containing the propositions

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z (x \in b(y, z) \Leftrightarrow d(x, y) = d(x, z))$$

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z ((x = y \wedge y = z) \Rightarrow x = z)$$

and  $A$  a proposition stating that if two bisectors of the triangle  $xyz$  intersect at a point  $w$ , then the three bisectors intersect at this point:

$$\forall w \forall x \forall y \forall z ((w \in b(x, y) \wedge w \in b(y, z)) \Rightarrow w \in b(x, z))$$

Write a proof of the sequent  $\Gamma \vdash A$ .

**Exercise 2** Prove that if the sequents  $\Gamma \vdash B$  is provable in Natural deduction, then so is the sequent  $\Gamma, A \vdash B$ .

**Exercise 3**

1. Prove that if the sequents  $\Gamma, A \vdash B$  and  $\Gamma \vdash \neg A$  are provable in Natural deduction, then so is the sequent  $\Gamma \vdash B$ .
2. Prove that if the sequent  $\Gamma, A, B \vdash C$  is provable, then the sequent  $\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash C$  is provable.

**Exercise 4** Prove that if the sequents  $\Gamma, \neg A \vdash \perp$  is provable in Natural deduction, then so is the sequent  $\Gamma \vdash A$ .