

Foundations of proof systems

You have taken a course in logic

Deduction rule

Classical and constructive proof

Last rule property, programming with proofs

Proofs in axiomatic theories: arithmetic, set theory...

...

How do you prove that $S(S(0)) + S(S(0)) = S(S(S(S(0))))$?

You have taken a course on rewriting

Rewrite rule

Termination, confluence

...

How do you prove that $S(S(0)) + S(S(0)) = S(S(S(S(0))))$?

Last rule property

An introduction rule (hence witness property)

(1) constructive (2) cut-free (3) without any axioms

(2) is not a restriction once we have proved cut-elimination

(1) many proofs do not use the excluded-middle

(3) is a **real limitation**: to prove

$$\forall x \exists y (x = 2 \times y \vee x = 2 \times y + 1)$$

need to know something about $=, +, \times \dots$

In general: failure

$$\overline{\exists x P(x) \vdash \exists x P(x)} \text{ axiom}$$

Final rule: **axiom** rule

Also: failure of the witness property

But in some cases...

An example: definitions

1: abbreviation for the the term $S(0)$

What does this mean?

(a) add a constant 1 an axiom $1 = S(0)$

(b) pretend you have read $S(0)$ each time you read 1

Constant + axiom

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\Gamma \vdash \forall x \forall y (x = y \Rightarrow P(x) \Rightarrow P(y))} \text{ axiom}}{\Gamma \vdash \forall y (1 = y \Rightarrow P(1) \Rightarrow P(y))} \forall\text{-elim}}{\Gamma \vdash 1 = S(0) \Rightarrow P(1) \Rightarrow P(S(0))} \forall\text{-elim}}{\Gamma \vdash P(1) \Rightarrow P(S(0))} \frac{\overline{\Gamma \vdash 1 = S(0)} \text{ axiom}}{\Rightarrow\text{-elim}}$$

where $\Gamma = \{1 = S(0), \forall x \forall y (x = y \Rightarrow P(x) \Rightarrow P(y))\}$

Cut-free, but ends but with an elimination rule

Replace 1 by $S(0)$

$$\frac{\overline{P(1) \vdash P(S(0))} \text{ axiom}}{\vdash P(1) \Rightarrow P(S(0))} \Rightarrow\text{-intro}$$

uses no axioms

ends with an introduction rule

Deduction modulo theory

$$\overline{P(1) \vdash P(S(0))} \text{ axiom}$$

a constant 1

a rewrite rule $1 \rightarrow S(0)$

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash B} \text{ axiom if } A \in \Gamma \text{ and } A \equiv B$$

and the same for the other Natural deduction rule

The rules of Natural Deduction modulo theory

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B} \wedge\text{-intro}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash C} \wedge\text{-intro if } C \equiv A \wedge B$$

Theories in Deduction modulo theory

A set of axioms + a set of rewrite rule

Besides definitions

Instead of the axioms

$$\forall x \forall y (0 + y = y)$$

$$\forall x \forall y (S(x) + y = S(x + y))$$

the rules

$$0 + y \longrightarrow y$$

$$S(x) + y \longrightarrow S(x + y)$$

Multiplication

$$0 \times y \longrightarrow 0$$

$$S(x) \times y \longrightarrow x \times y + y$$

An exercise

How do you prove

$$S(S(0)) + S(S(0)) = S(S(S(S(0))))$$

?

But not too much

All provable propositions $A \longrightarrow \top$

All provable propositions (including existential ones): a trivial proof

$$\frac{}{\vdash A} \top\text{-intro}$$

Dedidability of \equiv

Another example

$$x \subseteq y \longrightarrow (\forall z (z \in x \Rightarrow z \in y))$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{z \in A \vdash z \in A} \text{axiom}}{\vdash z \in A \Rightarrow z \in A} \Rightarrow\text{-intro}}{\vdash A \subseteq A} \forall\text{-intro}}$$

How far can we go?

Arithmetic?

- (1) Axioms of equality
- (2) The axioms of successor

$$\forall x \forall y (S(x) = S(y) \Rightarrow x = y)$$

$$\forall x \neg 0 = S(x)$$

- (3) Induction
- (4) The axioms of addition and multiplication

The axioms of successor

A function symbol *Pred*

A predicate symbol *Null*

$$Pred(0) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$Pred(S(x)) \longrightarrow x$$

$$Null(0) \longrightarrow \top$$

$$Null(S(x)) \longrightarrow \perp$$

An exercise

Prove

$$\forall x \forall y (S(x) = S(y) \Rightarrow x = y)$$

$$\forall x \neg 0 = S(x)$$

The big question: induction

No other numbers than those constructed with 0 and S

Every class containing 0 and closed by S contains everything

Besides ι , a sort κ for classes, a predicate symbol ϵ

Induction axiom

$$\forall c (0 \in c \Rightarrow \forall x (x \in c \Rightarrow S(x) \in c) \Rightarrow \forall y y \in c)$$

Comprehension axiom scheme: existence of some classes

$$\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n \exists c \forall y (y \in c \Leftrightarrow A)$$

if A does not contain ϵ (predicative arithmetic)

Now two problems instead of one

The comprehension scheme

$$\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n \exists c \forall y (y \in c \Leftrightarrow A)$$

Introduce a notation for this class: $f_{x_1, \dots, x_n, y, A}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

$$\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n \forall y (y \in f_{x_1, \dots, x_n, y, A}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \Leftrightarrow A)$$

$$y \in f_{x_1, \dots, x_n, y, A}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \longrightarrow A$$

The induction axiom

All objects of sort ι are natural numbers

Alternative: not all objects are natural numbers, a predicate symbol N for the natural numbers

$$\forall c (0 \in c \Rightarrow \forall x (x \in c \Rightarrow S(x) \in c) \Rightarrow \forall y (N(y) \Rightarrow y \in c))$$

More axioms

$$N(0)$$

$$\forall x (N(x) \Rightarrow N(S(x)))$$

$$\forall y (N(y) \Rightarrow \forall c (0 \in c \Rightarrow \forall x (N(x) \Rightarrow x \in c \Rightarrow S(x) \in c) \Rightarrow y \in c))$$

Converse provable (with $N(0)$ and $\forall x (N(x) \Rightarrow N(S(x)))$)

Alternative:

$$\forall y (N(y) \Leftrightarrow \forall c (0 \in c \Rightarrow \forall x (x \in c \Rightarrow S(x) \in c) \Rightarrow y \in c))$$

($N(0)$ and $\forall x (N(x) \Rightarrow N(S(x)))$ dropped)

$$N(y) \longrightarrow \forall c (0 \in c \Rightarrow \forall x (N(x) \Rightarrow x \in c \Rightarrow S(x) \in c) \Rightarrow y \in c)$$

The definition of natural numbers

Equality

Classes also used to define equality

$$x = y \longrightarrow \forall c (x \in c \Rightarrow y \in c)$$

Arithmetic as a purely computational theory

$$0 + y \longrightarrow y$$

$$S(x) + y \longrightarrow S(x + y)$$

$$0 \times y \longrightarrow 0$$

$$S(x) \times y \longrightarrow (x \times y) + y$$

$$Pred(0) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$Pred(S(x)) \longrightarrow x$$

$$Null(0) \longrightarrow \top$$

$$Null(S(x)) \longrightarrow \perp$$

$$x = y \longrightarrow \forall c (x \in c \Rightarrow y \in c)$$

$$N(y) \longrightarrow \forall c (0 \in c \Rightarrow \forall x (x \in c \Rightarrow S(x) \in c) \Rightarrow y \in c)$$

$$y \in f_{x_1, \dots, x_n, y, A}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \longrightarrow A$$

Deduction rules + computation rules

Everywhere in (contemporary) logic: Martin-Löf's type theory, the Calculus of Constructions...

But also **Automated theorem proving**

A resurrection of the notion of theory

Interoperability

Libraries (encyclopedia)

The course *Foundations of proof systems*

The algorithmic interpretation of proofs (Curry-de Bruijn-Howard interpretation)

Deduction rules + computation rules

Theories

Along the way: Proof-checking systems

Simple type theory: **HOL**, **HOL-light**, **Isabelle/HOL**, **PVS**

$\lambda\Pi$: **Twelf**

$\lambda\Pi$ -modulo: **Dedukti**

Martin-Löf's type theory: **Agda**

The Calculus of constructions: **Coq**