

** Exercises related to previous sessions **

Exercise 1. Let $\mathcal{I} = (\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}})$ be an interpretation (for \mathcal{ALC}), $\mathbf{a} \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$, and $(\mathbf{a}, 1), (\mathbf{a}, 2) \notin \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ (fresh individuals).

1. Given $X \subseteq \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$, we write $[X]$ to denote the set below

$$(X \setminus \{\mathbf{a}\}) \cup \{(\mathbf{a}, i) \mid i \in \{1, 2\}, \mathbf{a} \in X\}$$

($[X]$ is obtained from X by replacing \mathbf{a} by $(\mathbf{a}, 1), (\mathbf{a}, 2)$)

- a. Show that X is non-empty iff $[X]$ is non-empty.
- b. Show that $X \subseteq Y$ implies $[X] \subseteq [Y]$.

2. Let $\mathcal{J} = (\Delta^{\mathcal{J}}, \cdot^{\mathcal{J}})$ be the interpretation defined from \mathcal{I} as follows:

- $\Delta^{\mathcal{J}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}]$.
- $A^{\mathcal{J}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [A^{\mathcal{I}}]$, for all concept names A .
- For all $\mathbf{b} \in \Delta^{\mathcal{J}} \cap \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$, $r^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{b}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [r^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbf{b})]$, for all role names r .
- For all $(\mathbf{a}, i) \in \Delta^{\mathcal{J}}$ ($i \in \{1, 2\}$), $r^{\mathcal{J}}((\mathbf{a}, i)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [r^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbf{a})]$, for all role names r .
- \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} agree on the interpretation of the individual names when the value is in $\Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \setminus \{\mathbf{a}\}$.

Show that for all concepts C , we have $C^{\mathcal{J}} = [C^{\mathcal{I}}]$.

3. Prove that if $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ is consistent, then there is an interpretation \mathcal{I} such that $\mathcal{I} \models \mathcal{K}$ and for all distinct individual names a and b occurring in \mathcal{A} , we have $a^{\mathcal{I}} \neq b^{\mathcal{I}}$.

Exercise 2. \mathcal{EL} is a fragment of \mathcal{ALC} in which the \mathcal{EL} concept are defined from $C ::= \top \mid A \mid C \sqcap D \mid \exists r.C$. \mathcal{EL} knowledge bases are defined as for \mathcal{ALC} except that only \mathcal{EL} concepts are allowed. Show that every \mathcal{EL} knowledge base is consistent.

Exercise 3. (from exam 2020/2021) An \mathcal{ALC} TBox \mathcal{T} is said to be **simple** if it contains GCIs of the form

$$A \sqsubseteq B \quad A_1 \sqcap A_2 \sqsubseteq B \quad A \sqsubseteq \exists r.B \quad \exists r.A \sqsubseteq B$$

where A , the A_i 's and B are arbitrary concept names or \top and r is an arbitrary role name. In the sequel, by convention, we consider that \top is a special concept name (instead of a truth constant) whose interpretation is always the full interpretation domain $\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ (assuming that the interpretation is $\mathcal{I} = (\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}})$). Given a simple TBox \mathcal{T} , we write $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$ to denote the set of concept and role names occurring in \mathcal{T} with the addition of \top (when \top is not already in \mathcal{T}). Below, let us consider a fixed simple TBox \mathcal{T} .

1. We introduce rules to deduce new GCIs from \mathcal{T} . In the rules below, A , the A_i 's and B are concept names in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$, the C_i 's use only concept names and role names from $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$ too. We write $\mathcal{T} \vdash C \sqsubseteq D$ when $C \sqsubseteq D$ can be derived from \mathcal{T} by applying the rules below.

$$\frac{C \sqsubseteq D \in \mathcal{T}}{\mathcal{T} \vdash C \sqsubseteq D} \text{ } \in\text{-rule} \qquad \frac{}{\mathcal{T} \vdash A \sqsubseteq A} \text{ id-rule} \qquad \frac{}{\mathcal{T} \vdash A \sqsubseteq \top} \text{ } \top\text{-rule}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{T} \vdash A \sqsubseteq A_1, \mathcal{T} \vdash A \sqsubseteq A_2, \mathcal{T} \vdash A_1 \sqcap A_2 \sqsubseteq B}{\mathcal{T} \vdash A \sqsubseteq B} \text{ } \sqcap\text{-rule}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{T} \vdash A \sqsubseteq \exists r.A_1, \mathcal{T} \vdash A_1 \sqsubseteq B}{\mathcal{T} \vdash A \sqsubseteq \exists r.B} \text{ } \exists\text{-rule}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{T} \vdash C_1 \sqsubseteq C_2, \mathcal{T} \vdash C_2 \sqsubseteq C_3}{\mathcal{T} \vdash C_1 \sqsubseteq C_3} \text{ trans-rule}$$

under the condition: $\{C_1 \sqsubseteq C_3\}$ is simple.

Show that

$$\{\exists r.B \sqsubseteq B_1, A_1 \sqsubseteq B_2, B_1 \sqcap B_2 \sqsubseteq A_2, A_1 \sqsubseteq \exists r.A_1, \top \sqsubseteq B\} \vdash A_1 \sqsubseteq A_2.$$

2. A simple TBox \mathcal{T}^c is **complete** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$ for all $C \sqsubseteq D$, $\mathcal{T}^c \vdash C \sqsubseteq D$ implies $C \sqsubseteq D \in \mathcal{T}^c$. Given a simple TBox \mathcal{T} , show that there is a smallest complete and simple \mathcal{T}^c such that $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}^c$ ('smallest' refers to set-inclusion). Moreover, evaluate the time required to compute \mathcal{T}^c from \mathcal{T} .

3. Prove that if $\mathcal{T} \vdash C \sqsubseteq D$, then \mathcal{T} and $\mathcal{T} \cup \{C \sqsubseteq D\}$ are satisfied by exactly the same interpretations. Conclude $C \sqsubseteq D \in \mathcal{T}^c$ (with \mathcal{T}^c from Question 2.) implies $\mathcal{T} \models C \sqsubseteq D$.
4. Let \mathcal{I} be the interpretation defined as follows (depending on \mathcal{T} via \mathcal{T}^c).
 - $\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ is the set of concept names from $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$ (including \top).
 - $A^{\mathcal{I}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{B \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \mid B \sqsubseteq A \in \mathcal{T}^c\}$ for all concept names A in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$.
 - $r^{\mathcal{I}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(A, B) \mid A \sqsubseteq \exists r.B \in \mathcal{T}^c\}$ for all role names r in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$.

Verify that $\top^{\mathcal{I}} = \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$. Show that $\mathcal{I} \models \mathcal{T}^c$.

5. Conclude that for all $A, B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$, we have $A \sqsubseteq B \notin \mathcal{T}^c$ implies $\mathcal{T} \not\models A \sqsubseteq B$.
6. Show that given an arbitrary simple TBox \mathcal{T} and $A, B \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T})$, checking whether $\mathcal{T} \models A \sqsubseteq B$ can be done in polynomial time in the size of \mathcal{T} .

★★ Exercises related to today session★★

Exercise 4. By using the tableaux calculus for \mathcal{ALC} and its properties, show that the concept below is not satisfiable.

$$(\forall r.((\neg A) \sqcup B)) \sqcap (\forall r.A) \sqcap \exists r.\neg B$$

(use the property that \mathcal{A} is consistent iff there is a complete and clash-free ABox \mathcal{A}' derivable from \mathcal{A} with the tableaux rules)

Exercise 5. Show that

$$\{(a, b) : s, (a, c) : r\} \cup \{a : A_1 \sqcap \exists s.A_5, a : \forall s.\neg A_5 \sqcup \neg A_2, b : A_2, c : A_3 \sqcap \exists s.A_4\}$$

is a consistent ABox.

Exercise 6. Using the tableaux calculus for \mathcal{ALC} with blocking, show that the knowledge base $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ below is consistent.

$$\mathcal{T} = \{\top \sqsubseteq (\neg A) \sqcup \exists r.B\} \quad \mathcal{A} = \{a : A \sqcap B, a : \forall r.\forall r.C\}$$

Exercise 7. Complete the case for the \forall -rule in the soundness proof of the tableau proof system for \mathcal{ALC} without TBoxes.

Exercise 8. Complete the case for the \forall -rule to show that \mathcal{A}'' is complete in the soundness proof for the tableau proof system for \mathcal{ALC} with TBoxes (and with blocking technique).

Exercise 9. Complete in the soundness proof for \mathcal{ALC} with TBoxes the property: for all $a : C \in \mathcal{A}''$, we have $a^{\mathcal{I}} \in C^{\mathcal{I}}$.

Exercise 10. Let us consider the extension of \mathcal{ALC} TBoxes by allowing role axioms of the form $\text{Disj}(r, s)$ such that $\mathcal{I} \models \text{Disj}(r, s)$ iff $r^{\mathcal{I}} \cap s^{\mathcal{I}} = \emptyset$. Extend the tableau-style proof system for \mathcal{ALC} knowledge base consistency and prove termination, soundness and completeness.

Exercise 11. (Tableaux with RIAs) Let us consider the extension of \mathcal{ALC} TBoxes by allowing role inclusion axioms $r \sqsubseteq s$. Extend the tableau-style proof system for \mathcal{ALC} knowledge base consistency and prove termination, soundness and completeness.

Exercise 12. Let us propose an alternative definition for blocking that does not rely on the ancestor-relation but rather on the notion of age. Whenever the \exists -rule is applied, the **age** of the fresh individual name c is equal to the number of previous applications of the \exists -rule plus one. The age of the root individuals is equal to zero. An individual name b in some ABox \mathcal{A}' derived from \mathcal{A} is **blocked by** a if

- the age of a is strictly less than the age of b ,
- $\text{con}_{\mathcal{A}'}(b) \subseteq \text{con}_{\mathcal{A}'}(a)$,
- a is not blocked.

Show that the tableau-style proof system for \mathcal{ALC} knowledge base consistency with this notion of blocking provides also a decision procedure.

Exercise 13. Let $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ be an \mathcal{ALC} knowledge base with

$$\mathcal{T} = \{A \sqsubseteq \neg\forall r.\neg A\} \quad \mathcal{A} = \{a : (A \sqcap (\exists r.B)) \sqcap (\neg\forall r.B)\}$$

1. Using the tableaux calculus for \mathcal{ALC} , show that \mathcal{K} is consistent.
2. Based on the derivation from Question 1., define an interpretation satisfying \mathcal{K} .

Exercise 14. Let $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ be a knowledge base for \mathcal{ALC} with role axioms, with $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{GCI} \cup \{r \circ s \equiv s \circ r\}$. \mathcal{T}_{GCI} is made of GCIs. Show that \mathcal{K} is consistent iff $\mathcal{K}' = (\mathcal{T}_{GCI} \cup \mathcal{T}'_{RA}, \mathcal{A})$ is consistent with \mathcal{T}'_{RA} equal to $\{r \circ s \sqsubseteq q, q \sqsubseteq r \circ s, s \circ r \sqsubseteq q, q \sqsubseteq s \circ r\}$ for some new role name q .