

★★ Exercises related to the previous session ★★

Exercise 1. Let $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{T} \cup \{A \sqsubseteq C\}, \mathcal{A})$ be a knowledge base such that A is a concept name and B is a concept name that does not occur in \mathcal{K} (B is “new”). Show that \mathcal{K} is consistent iff $\mathcal{K}' = (\mathcal{T} \cup \{A \equiv B \sqcap C\}, \mathcal{A})$ is consistent.

Exercise 2. Let $\mathcal{T}^* = \{A_1 \equiv C_1, \dots, A_m \equiv C_m\}$ be an \mathcal{ALC} TBox satisfying the following properties.

- Every A_i is a concept name, and $A_i \equiv C_i$ is an abbreviation for $A_i \sqsubseteq C_i$ and $C_i \sqsubseteq A_i$.
- For all $i, j \in [1, m]$, if A_j occurs in C_i , then $j > i$.
- If $i \neq j \in [1, m]$, then A_i and A_j are syntactically distinct.

Such a TBox \mathcal{T}^* is called **acyclic**.

1. Briefly define an acyclic graph from \mathcal{T}^* , which would justify the terminology “ \mathcal{T}^* is acyclic”.
2. Given an interpretation \mathcal{I} , show that there exists an interpretation \mathcal{J} such that $\mathcal{J} \models \mathcal{T}^*$, the interpretations of the role names and concept names different from $\{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ are identical in \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} .
3. Design an algorithm that takes as input a knowledge base $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ with acyclic \mathcal{T} and returns an ABox \mathcal{A}' such that \mathcal{K} is consistent iff $(\emptyset, \mathcal{A}')$ is consistent, and \mathcal{A}' contains no A_i 's. The proof for the soundness of the algorithm is not requested.
4. Explain why your algorithm terminates and analyse its computational complexity.

Exercise 3. (Exponential-size interpretations) Define a family of concepts $(C_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that each C_n is of polynomial size in n (for a fixed polynomial), C_n is satisfiable, and the interpretations satisfying C_n have at least 2^n individuals in its domains.

Exercise 4. (Infinite models) Let \mathcal{ALCIN} be the extension of \mathcal{ALC} with unqualified number restrictions and inverse roles. Let $C = \neg A \sqcap \exists r.A$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{A \sqsubseteq \exists r.A, \top \sqsubseteq (\leq 1 r^-)\}$. Show that for all interpretations $\mathcal{I} = (\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}})$ such that $C^{\mathcal{I}} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{I} \models \mathcal{T}$, $\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ is infinite.

★★ Exercises related to today session★★

Exercise 5. Let us consider the translation map t into first-order logic. Let $\mathcal{I} = (\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}})$ be an interpretation.

1. Let C be a complex concept in \mathcal{ALC} . Show that for all $\mathfrak{a} \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$, we have $\mathfrak{a} \in C^{\mathcal{I}}$ iff $\mathcal{I}, \rho[x \leftarrow \mathfrak{a}] \models t(C, x)$ where ρ is a first-order assignment.
2. Show that $\mathcal{I} \models \mathcal{K}$ iff $\mathcal{I} \models t(\mathcal{K})$.

Exercise 6. (Model-checking in PTIME) Let \mathcal{I} be an interpretation with finite domain and C be an \mathcal{ALC} concept. Recapitulate the main arguments to show that the algorithm seen in the lecture to compute $C^{\mathcal{I}}$ indeed runs in polynomial time.

Exercise 7. Let X be a finite set of \mathcal{ALC} concepts closed under subconcepts and \mathcal{K} (resp. C) be a knowledge base (resp. a concept) such that $\text{sub}(\mathcal{K}) \cup \text{sub}(C) \subseteq X$. Let $\mathcal{I} = (\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}})$ be an interpretation such that

- $\mathcal{I} \models \mathcal{K}$ and $C^{\mathcal{I}} \neq \emptyset$,
- for all role names r occurring in X , $r^{\mathcal{I}}$ is reflexive and transitive.

For all $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}' \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$, we write $\mathfrak{a} \sim \mathfrak{a}'$ iff for all concepts $D \in X$, we have $\mathfrak{a} \in D^{\mathcal{I}}$ iff $\mathfrak{a}' \in D^{\mathcal{I}}$. As \sim is an equivalence relation, equivalence classes of \sim are written $[\mathfrak{a}]$ to denote the class of \mathfrak{a} . Let us define the interpretation $\mathcal{J} = (\Delta^{\mathcal{J}}, \cdot^{\mathcal{J}})$:

- $\Delta^{\mathcal{J}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{[\mathbf{a}] \mid \mathbf{a} \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}\}$.
 - $A^{\mathcal{J}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{[\mathbf{a}] \mid \text{there is } \mathbf{a}' \in [\mathbf{a}] \text{ such that } \mathbf{a}' \in A^{\mathcal{I}}\}$ for all $A \in X$.
 - $A^{\mathcal{J}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \emptyset$ for all concept names $A \notin X$ (arbitrary value).
 - $r^{\mathcal{J}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{([\mathbf{a}], [\mathbf{b}]) \mid \text{there are } \mathbf{a}' \in [\mathbf{a}], \mathbf{b}' \in [\mathbf{b}] \text{ such that for all } \forall r.D \in X, \mathbf{a}' \in (\forall r.D)^{\mathcal{I}} \text{ implies } \mathbf{b}' \in (\forall r.D)^{\mathcal{I}}\}$ for all role names r occurring in X .
 - $r^{\mathcal{J}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \emptyset$ for all role names r not occurring in X (arbitrary value).
 - $a^{\mathcal{J}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\mathbf{a}]$ with $a^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathbf{a}$, for all individual names a .
1. Show that for all role names r occurring in X , $r^{\mathcal{J}}$ is reflexive and transitive.
 2. Show that $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in r^{\mathcal{I}}$ implies $([\mathbf{a}], [\mathbf{b}]) \in r^{\mathcal{J}}$, for all role names r occurring in X .
 3. Assuming that the concept constructors occurring in X are among $\forall r$ for some r , \sqcap and \neg , show that for all $D \in X$ and $\mathbf{a} \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$, we have $\mathbf{a} \in D^{\mathcal{I}}$ iff $[\mathbf{a}] \in D^{\mathcal{J}}$. (This restriction on the concept constructors allows us to reduce the number of cases in the induction step).
 4. Conclude that there is a finite interpretation \mathcal{I}^* such that $\mathcal{I}^* \models \mathcal{K}$ and $(C)^{\mathcal{I}^*} \neq \emptyset$ and for all role names r occurring in X , $(r)^{\mathcal{I}^*}$ is reflexive and transitive.