

Cryptographic protocols: formal and computational proofs

Mid Term exam

December 2, 2015

Duration 3h. All documents are allowed

Problem

We consider the following (informally described) handshake protocol

$$\begin{aligned} A \rightarrow B &: \nu n, \nu r, \nu s. \{ \langle n, \langle s, A \rangle \rangle \}_k^r \\ B \rightarrow A &: \nu n'. \langle n, n' \rangle \\ A \rightarrow B &: \nu r'. \{ \langle s, n' \rangle \}_k^{r'} \end{aligned}$$

in which k is a shared key between A, B .

1. Give a reasonable definition of the processes $P_A(a)$ and $P_B(a)$, in which a plays the role A (this is checked by the process P_B)
2. We wish to check the agreement property on the nonce n . Include in the above processes the appropriate events and state formally the agreement property.
3. We consider the scenario $\nu k.(P_A(a) \parallel P_B(a))$ in a context, in which the initial attacker's knowledge is only $\{a\}$.
 - (a) Explain why complete traces of the above process (i.e., traces with 3 input actions and 3 output actions) must correspond to the following sequence of actions: 1. output of P_A 2. input of P_B 3. output of P_B 4. input of P_A 5. output of P_A 6. input of P_B .
 - (b) Compute the deducibility constraint representing all possible complete traces.
 - (c) Solve the above deducibility constraints.
 - (d) List all possible attacks on the agreement property that was stated in the previous question. (Justify that there is no other attack)
 - (e) Show that there is no attack on the secrecy of s in this scenario.
 - (f) Show an attack on the secrecy of s in the scenario $\nu k.(P_A(a) \parallel P_B(a) \parallel P_B(a))$.
4. Give a Horn clause translation \mathcal{H} of $\nu k.(P_A(a) \parallel P_B(a))$.
5. Show how the attacker clauses, together with \mathcal{H} , allow to deduce $\text{Att}(s)$.
6. In the scenario $\nu k.(P_A(a) \parallel P_B(a))$ is there any attack on the agreement on n' ?

7. (**Bonus**) What are the possible attacks on the agreement on n (resp. n') in a scenario $\nu k.(!P_A(a) \parallel !P_B(a))$?
8. (**Bonus**) Assume the encryption scheme is IND-CPA, do we get more attacks in the computational semantics ?

Exercise 2

We assume here that the encryption scheme is IND-CPA. k_1, k_2, k_3, r, r' are arbitrary distinct names. u, v are arbitrary terms.

Which of the following are true ? false (at least for some IND-CPA encryption schemes) ? Justify your answer.

1. $\llbracket \{k_1\}_{k_2}^r, \{\langle k_1, k_2 \rangle\}_{k_3}^{r'}, k_1 \rrbracket \approx \llbracket \{k_2\}_{k_1}^r, \{\langle k_1, k_2 \rangle\}_{k_3}^{r'}, k_1 \rrbracket$
2. $\llbracket \{k_2\}_{k_1}^r, \{\langle k_1, k_3 \rangle\}_{k_2}^{r'}, k_1 \rrbracket \approx \llbracket \{k_2\}_{k_1}^r, \{\langle k_2, k_3 \rangle\}_{k_2}^{r'}, k_1 \rrbracket$
3. $\llbracket \{k_2\}_{k_1}^r, \{\langle k_1, k_2 \rangle\}_{k_1}^{r'}, k_2 \rrbracket \approx \llbracket \{k_2\}_{k_1}^r, \{\langle k_2, k_3 \rangle\}_{k_2}^{r'}, k_3 \rrbracket$
4. $\llbracket \{\{u\}_{k_1}^r\}_{k_2}^{r'} \rrbracket \approx \llbracket \{\{u\}_{k_1}^r\}_{k_1}^{r'} \rrbracket$

Exercise 3

If a symmetric encryption scheme uses the specific BC mode, we assume that it is possible to compute $\{u\}_k^r$ from $\{\langle v, u \rangle\}_k^r$ (for all u, v, k, r).

Give an example of a protocol, a scenario and a (weak) secrecy property, which is secure in the Dolev-Yao model, but insecure for a symmetric encryption scheme using such a BC mode.