# MPRI 2.30 Lecture 2: Symbolic Model

# Exercises

#### David Baelde

#### September 18, 2019

#### Exercise 1

Consider the signature  $\Sigma = \Sigma_c = \{\text{senc}, \text{sdec}, \text{pair}, \text{proj}_1, \text{proj}_2\}$  with the equations seen in the lecture. Consider the following term rewriting rules, which are obtained by orienting these equations:

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{sdec}(\mathsf{senc}(x,y)) & \to & x \\ \mathsf{proj}_i(\mathsf{pair}(x_1,x_2)) & \to & x_i \end{array}$ 

These rewriting rules form a convergent system: it is terminating (it admits no infinite reduction sequence) and confluent (for any term t there exists a unique u such that  $t \to^* u$  and u cannot be rewritten anymore). In that context, we note  $t \downarrow$  the normal form of t (i.e. u above).

- Show that, for all s and t,  $s =_{\mathsf{E}} t$  iff  $s \downarrow = t \downarrow$ .
- Exhibit an equation which cannot be oriented into a terminating rewriting system.
- Exhibit equations which can be oriented into a terminating rewriting system, but not into a convergent one.

#### Exercise 2

With the same signature as in the previous exercise show that, for all t,

$$pair(proj_1(t), proj_2(t)) = t$$

iff there exists u and v such that  $t =_E pair(u, v)$ .

Propose an equation that similarly characterizes terms t that are equal modulo E to some term senc(u, k), where k is fixed (and may occur in your equation).

## Exercise 3

For each of the following processes, indicate when the secrecy of n is ensured, and exhibit an adversary otherwise:

- $P_1 = \mathbf{new} \ k.\mathbf{out}(c, \mathtt{senc}(n, k)).\mathbf{out}(c, k)$
- $P_2 = \mathbf{in}(c, x).\mathbf{out}(c, \mathtt{senc}(n, x))$
- $P_3 = \mathbf{out}(c, \operatorname{senc}(n, k)) \cdot \mathbf{in}(c, x) \cdot \mathbf{if} x = n \operatorname{\mathbf{then}} \operatorname{\mathbf{out}}(c, k)$
- $P_4 = in(c, x).let \ y = adec(x, k) \ in \ out(c, k) \ else \ out(c, aenc(n, pk(k)))$
- $P_5 = !P_4$

For each process P above, exhibit (if it exists) an execution  $(P, \emptyset) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} (Q, \Phi)$ and a recipe  $R \not\equiv \mathbf{bn}(\Phi)$  such that  $R\Phi \not\Downarrow n$ .

# Exercise 4

Show that the secrecy problem<sup>1</sup> is undecidable, even when the signature is restricted to pairs and symmetric encryptions with the same equations as before. Show that it is NP-hard if one further constrains the process to not contain replications.

### Exercise 5

Consider the following protocol, where K is a secret generated by A:

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} A & \to & B & : & \langle A, \{K\}_{\mathsf{pk}(B)} \rangle \\ B & \to & A & : & \langle B, \{K\}_{\mathsf{pk}(A)} \rangle \end{array}$$

- Propose a reasonable formal model for it. Specifically, give a process corresponding to one session of each role.
- Show that the secrecy of K is not ensured. You may exhibit an internal reduction with an adversary, or a labelled transition system. In any case, be careful about the various conditions on names.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Input: a process P and a message s. Output: does P ensure the secrecy of s?