Errata (2) to 'Exponentiable Streams and Prestreams'

Jean Goubault-Larrecq

October 23, 2019

The mistake was found by Stefano Nicotra, who told me about it my email on January 29th, 2019.

Right before Theorem 8, I say:

We deal with Haucourt streams right away. Lemma 20 does not apply in this setting, since the Sierpiński stream is not a Haucourt stream. Indeed, because [0, 1] is connected, there is no directed path from 0 to 1, or from 1 to 0, in S. As a result, it may well be that there are exponentiable Haucourt streams with non-core-compact carriers.

This difficulty is, in fact, *nonexistent*: the Sierpiński space S is connected and even path-connected, so that argument does not apply. Recounting S. Nicotra's argument, the map $q: [0,1] \to S$ that maps 0 to 0 and all other points to 1 is continuous, and defines a path from 0 to 1 in S. The map $q^{\perp}: [0,1] \to S$ that maps 1 to 0 and all other points to 1 defines a path from 1 to 0.

It is easy to see that the two maps q, q^{\perp} define prestream morphisms from $\overrightarrow{[0,1]}$ to S. Hence the Sierpiński stream S is a Haucourt stream. Therefore Lemma 20 applies: the carrier of any exponentiable object in **HStr** is corecompact. Combining this with the published proof of Theorem 8, we obtain the following strengthening of Theorem 8:

Theorem 8 (improved—for free). The exponential objects in **HStr** are exactly the core-compact Haucourt streams, i.e., the Haucourt streams $\mathcal{X} = (X, (\sqsubseteq_U)_{U \in \mathcal{O}(X)})$ whose carrier X is core-compact.

For every core-compact Haucourt stream $\mathcal{X} = (X, (\sqsubseteq_U)_{U \in \mathcal{O}(X)})$, and every Haucourt stream $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, (\preceq_V)_{V \in \mathcal{O}(Y)})$, the exponential object $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{X}}$ in **Str** is (up to isomorphism) the haucourtification of $([\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}]^o, (\leq_W^s)_{W \in \mathcal{O}([\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}]^o)})$.

This solves open problem 1 of the conclusion in the positive, as well.