1. Goal of the meeting

The main topics for the meeting are:

- Prepare review with the ANR planned for the 11th September
- Review of the paper planned to be submitted for DATE09

2. Attendees

Patricia Renault  
Emmanuelle Encrenaz  
Pirouz Bazargan-Sabet  
Dominique Ledu  
Laurent Fribourg  
Etienne André  
Remy Chevallier

LIP6  
LIP6  
LIP6  
LIP6  
LSV  
LSV  
ST

3. Summary of the meeting

3.1. Communication with the ANR: status on ‘accord de consortium’

No progress. Need feedback from the lawyer department in ST and CEA

3.2. Prepare review with ANR planned the 11th September in Paris

The first consolidate release of the ANR presentation has been reviewed during the meeting. The final release will be sent next week.

3.3. Review paper for DATE09

The first release has been reviewed during the meeting. Few modifications have been listed:

- Check that the introduction and the first section fit our need
- Update the abstraction part:
  - Describe the color algorithm which is innovative and has been developed in this project
- Improve the experiment and result section
  - Add abstraction results according to several cuts of SPSMALL
  - Update experiment on timed automaton

4. Actions

- Administrative
  - Follow-up the ‘Accord de consortium’ story (All) [asap]
- Prepare ANR meeting in September
  - Review of the last release of the slides (All) [week 36]
  - Provide new version of SPSMALL (Remy) [week 35]
- Prepare paper for DATE
  - Update paper for submit it to DATE09 (All) [week 36]
5. **Next meeting**

The next meeting is planned in Paris for the ANR review the 11th September.

6. **Deliverable overview**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Deliv.</th>
<th>Resp.</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1.1</td>
<td>State of Art in eSRAM conception</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>0→6</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1.2</td>
<td>Build web site for the project</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>LIP6</td>
<td>0→6</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1.3</td>
<td>Description of the conception flow applied on a study</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>6→12</td>
<td>Study 1 done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Study 2 done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Study 3 not started</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Run time of conception flow done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2.1</td>
<td>State of art in memory verification methodologies</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>LIP6</td>
<td>0→6</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2.2</td>
<td>Definition of a new functional and timed model</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>LIP6</td>
<td>0→6</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2.3</td>
<td>Mixing of abstraction methods and temporal characterization</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>LIP6</td>
<td>6→12</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2.4</td>
<td>Abstraction tool prototype</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>LIP6</td>
<td>12→24</td>
<td>ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.1</td>
<td>Temporal automaton modeling adapted to memory</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>LSV</td>
<td>6→12</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.2</td>
<td>Temporal automaton model checking adapted to memory</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>LSV</td>
<td>12→18</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.3</td>
<td>Verification tool prototype</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>LSV</td>
<td>12→24</td>
<td>ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4.1</td>
<td>Description of the conception flow applied on other studies</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>12→18</td>
<td>Not started</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4.2</td>
<td>Experimentation of prototypes on real study</td>
<td>R &amp; D</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>18→36</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4.3</td>
<td>Comparison of results from current verification methods and new methods</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>30→36</td>
<td>Not started</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The targets are described in months.

Delivery naming: (R: report / P: prototype / D: demonstrator)

wk: week number
Q: quarter