

#### VALMEM meeting (ANR project)

*Meeting minutes* 30/03/2007

Remy Chevallier 042/63/25 eSRAM team / Crolles1

## 1.Goal of the meeting

The aim of the meeting is to:

- Review the data sent by ST according to the study 1
- Review the draft of the deliverable D1.1 written by ST
- Review the draft of the deliverable D2.1 written by LSV
- Presentation of the strategy applicable to the study 1 for the transistor abstraction

The meeting has been done in Paris in the LIP6 office the 27<sup>th</sup> of March 2007.

### 2. Attendees

Emmanuelle Encrenaz (LSV) Patricia Renault (LIP6) Pirouz Bazargan-Sabet (LIP6) Laurent Fribourg (LSV) Remy Chevallier (ST)

## 3. Summary of the meeting

### 3.1.Legal tasks

- The documents used to protect the industrial data called NDA have been written and signed by the partners.
- The official documents provided by the ANR are under signature process for each partner.

## 3.2. Review of 'State of art in eSRAM conception' (D1.1)

According to the LSV, the maturity processes have to be more detailed. Few other minor comments have been provided by the audience.

# 3.3.Review of 'State of art in eSRAM verification methodology' (D2.1)

We do not have enough time to do a deep review of this document during the meeting. The comments from ST will be sent by email.

# 3.4. Discussion on 'Definition of a new functional and timed model' (D2.2)

The different options for the functional abstraction and timing modeling have been introduced during the LIP6 presentation. We decided that the best models will be chosen after a preliminary work on the study 1, and a deep collaboration between the three partners.



#### VALMEM meeting (ANR project)

*Meeting minutes* 30/03/2007

Remy Chevallier 042/63/25 eSRAM team / Crolles1

## 4.Actions

- Update and correct 1.1 report (ST) [wk26]
- Discuss the best model to use for abstraction and verification point of view. Deep collaboration between partners is starting around the study1.
- Update and correct 2.1 report (LSV) [wk26]
- Write a draft of 2.2 report (LIP6) [wk26]
- Start the definition and the work on study 2 (ST) [Q3]
- Communication task: a public web-site will be developed and managed by the LSV
  in order to provide visibility of this project to the reviewers and to rest of the
  community. All deliverables and presented slides will be put inside the web-site.

# 5.Next meeting

The next meeting is planned for the 27<sup>th</sup> of June at ST office in Crolles.

## 6.Deliverable overview

Title	Deliv.	Resp.	Target	status
State of Art in eSRAM conception	R	ST	0→6	Final version ongoing (wk26)
Studies definitions	R	ST	0 <b>→</b> 6	Study 1 provided (wk10)
				Study 2 ongoing (Q3)
Description of the conception flow	R	ST	6 <b>→</b> 12	Not started
applied on a study				
State of art in memory verification	R	LIP6	0 <b>→</b> 6	Final version ongoing (wk26)
methodologies				
	R	LIP6	0 <b>→</b> 6	Draft version planned (wk26)
	R	LIP6	6 <b>→</b> 12	Not started
*				
Abstraction tool prototype	P	LIP6	12 <b>→</b> 24	Not started
Temporal automaton modeling	R	LSV	6 <b>→</b> 12	Not started
adapted to memory				
Temporal automaton model checking	R	LSV	12 <b>→</b> 18	Not started
verification tool prototype	P	LSV	12 <b>→</b> 24	Not started
Description of the conception flow	R	ST	12 <b>→</b> 18	Not started
applied on other studies				
Experimentation of prototypes on	R & D	ST	18 <b>→</b> 36	Not stated
real study				
Comparison of results from current	R	ST	30 <b>→</b> 36	Not started
verification methods and new				
methods				
	State of Art in eSRAM conception Studies definitions  Description of the conception flow applied on a study State of art in memory verification methodologies Definition of a new functional and timed model Mixing of abstraction methods and temporal characterization Abstraction tool prototype  Temporal automaton modeling adapted to memory Temporal automaton model checking adapted to memory verification tool prototype  Description of the conception flow applied on other studies Experimentation of prototypes on real study Comparison of results from current verification methods and new	State of Art in eSRAM conception Studies definitions R  Description of the conception flow applied on a study State of art in memory verification methodologies Definition of a new functional and timed model Mixing of abstraction methods and temporal characterization Abstraction tool prototype P  Temporal automaton modeling adapted to memory Temporal automaton model checking adapted to memory verification tool prototype P  Description of the conception flow applied on other studies Experimentation of prototypes on real study Comparison of results from current verification methods and new	State of Art in eSRAM conception Studies definitions R ST  Description of the conception flow applied on a study State of art in memory verification methodologies Definition of a new functional and timed model Mixing of abstraction methods and temporal characterization Abstraction tool prototype P LIP6  Temporal automaton modeling R Adapted to memory Temporal automaton model checking adapted to memory verification tool prototype P LSV Description of the conception flow applied on other studies Experimentation of prototypes on R & D ST real study Comparison of results from current R Verification methods and new	State of Art in eSRAM conception R ST 0→6 Studies definitions R ST 0→6  Description of the conception flow applied on a study  State of art in memory verification R LIP6 0→6  methodologies Definition of a new functional and R LIP6 0→6  timed model  Mixing of abstraction methods and R LIP6 0→6  temporal characterization Abstraction tool prototype P LIP6 12→24  Temporal automaton modeling R LSV $6 \rightarrow 12$ adapted to memory Temporal automaton model checking R LSV $12 \rightarrow 18$ adapted to memory verification tool prototype P LSV $12 \rightarrow 24$ Description of the conception flow R ST $12 \rightarrow 18$ applied on other studies Experimentation of prototypes on R & D ST $18 \rightarrow 36$ real study Comparison of results from current R ST $30 \rightarrow 36$ verification methods and new

The targets are described in months.

Delivery naming: (R: report / P: prototype / D: demonstrator)

wk: week number

Q: quarter