Alpaga

A Tool for Solving Parity Games with **Imperfect Information**

Dietmar Berwanger¹ Krishnendu Chatterjee² Martin De Wulf³ Laurent Doyen^{3,4} Tom Henzinger⁴

³ ULB Brussels

¹ ENS Cachan ² UC Santa Cruz ⁴ EPFL Lausanne

TACAS 2009

Why imperfect information ?





```
void main () {
         int got_lock = 0;
         do {
     1:
               if (*) {
     2:
                   lock ();
     3:
                   s0 | s1 | inc | dec;
     4:
               if (got_lock != 0) {
     5:
                   unlock ();
               J
     6:
                s0 | s1 | inc | dec;
         } while (*);
      }
void lock () {
                       void unlock () {
                           assert(L == 1);
   assert(L == 0);
   L = 1;
                           L = 0;
```

```
s0 \equiv got\_lock = 0

s1 \equiv got\_lock = 1

lnc \equiv got\_lock++

dec \equiv got\_lock--
```



Repair/synthesis as a game:

- System vs. Environment
- Turn-based game graph
- ω -regular objective









Why Imperfect Information ?

- Program repair/synthesis
- Distributed synthesis of processes with public/private variables
- Synthesis of robust controllers
- Synthesis of automata specifications
- Decision problems in automata theory
- Planning with partial observability, information flow secrecy, ...

A model of imperfect information





System: actions \downarrow , \uparrow



System: actions \downarrow , \uparrow

Environment: observations $\bigstar, \bigstar, \bigstar, \bigstar$



System: actions \downarrow , \uparrow

Environment: observations $\bigstar, \bigstar, \bigstar, \bigstar$

A play: ★



System: actions \downarrow , \uparrow

Environment: observations $\bigstar, \bigstar, \bigstar, \bigstar$

A play: $\bigstar \uparrow \bigstar$



System: actions \downarrow , \uparrow

Environment: observations $\bigstar, \bigstar, \bigstar, \bigstar$





System: actions \downarrow , \uparrow

Environment: observations $\bigstar, \bigstar, \bigstar, \bigstar$





System: actions \downarrow , \uparrow

Environment: observations $\bigstar, \bigstar, \bigstar, \bigstar$

A play: $\bigstar \uparrow \bigstar \uparrow \bigstar \uparrow \bigstar \downarrow \bigstar$

Objectives

- Reachability: eventually observe a good event
- Safety: never observe a bad event

- Parity: observation priorities least one seen infinitely often is even
 - nested reachability & safety
 - generic for ω -regular specifications

Parity games with imperfect information

Questions:

- decide if System wins from the initial state
- construct a winning strategy

Assumptions:

- Visible objective
- Sure-winning

Algorithms

- keeps track of the knowledge of System
- yields equivalent game of perfect information



- keeps track of the knowledge of System
- yields equivalent game of perfect information



- keeps track of the knowledge of System
- yields equivalent game of perfect information



- keeps track of the knowledge of System
- yields equivalent game of perfect information





- keeps track of the knowledge of System
- yields equivalent game of perfect information





Powerset construction [Reif84]:

- keeps track of the knowledge of System
- yields equivalent game of perfect information

Memoryless strategies (in perfect-information) translate to finite-memory strategies

(memory automaton tracks set of possible positions)

Complexity

- Problem is EXPTIME-complete (even for safety and reachability)
- Exponential memory might be needed

The powerset solution [Reif84]

- is an exponential construction
- is not on-the-fly
- is independent of the objective

Can we do better ?

• Winning knowledge-sets are **downward-closed**:

If System wins from s, then she also wins from s'



• Winning knowledge-sets are **downward-closed**:

If System wins from s, then she also wins from s'



• Useful operations preserve downward-closedness

 \cap , \cup , Cpre

Cpre(X) = {Y from which System can force the play into X}

- Winning knowledge-sets are downward-closed
- Useful operations preserve downward-closedness



Compact representation using maximal elements \rightarrow Antichains



• [CSL'06] computes winning sets of positions as a μ -calculus formula over the lattice of antichains

• [CSL'06] computes winning sets of positions as a μ -calculus formula over the lattice of antichains

CPre(Y) = ?

 $Y = \{s1, s2, s3\}$ set of winning positions so far

• [CSL'06] computes winning sets of positions as a μ -calculus formula over the lattice of antichains





s ∈ CPre(Y) if for all ☆, there exists a set in Y that contains post_↑(s) $\cap ☆$

• [CSL'06] computes winning sets of positions as a μ -calculus formula over the lattice of antichains



• [CSL'06] computes winning sets of positions as a μ -calculus formula over the lattice of antichains

• [Concur'08] computes winning strategy recursively for a combination of safety & reachability objectives

• [CSL'06] computes winning sets of positions as a μ -calculus formula over the lattice of antichains

• [Concur'08] computes winning strategy recursively for a combination of safety & reachability objectives

- Safety: extract strategy from fixpoint
- Reachability: fixpoint is not sufficient











3. From {1,2,3} play a



Fixpoint of winning cells: {{1,2,3}}

Winning strategy ??



Fixpoint of winning (cell, action): $\{\{1,2,3\}_a,\{1,2\}_b\}$ Winning strategy ??



Winning strategy

Current knowledge K: select earliest (cell,action) such that $K \subseteq$ cell, play action

- computed
- 1. From {1,2,3} play a
- 2. From ... play ...
- 3. From ... play ...
- 4. From ... play ...
- 5. From {2} play a



- 1. From {1,2,3} play a
- 2. From ... play ...
- 3. From ... play ...
- 4. From ... play ...
- 5. From {2} play a

Not necessary !

Rule 1: delete subsumed pairs computed later



- 1. From {1,2} play a
- 2. From {3,4} play ...
- 3. From {1,3} play a
- 4. From {3,5} play ...
- 5. From {1,2,3} play a





- 2. From {3,4} play ...
- 3. From {1,3} play a
- 4. From {3,5} play ...
- 5. From {1,2,3} play a

Not necessary !

Rule 2: delete strongly-subsumed pairs

Alpaga

First prototype for solving parity games of imperfect information

- Use antichains as compact representation of winning sets of positions
- Compute Controllable Predecessor with BDDs
- Publish Reachability/Safety attractor moves to compose the strategy (earlier published move sticks)
- Strategy simplification



Alpaga

First prototype for solving parity games of imperfect information

- Implemented in Python + CUDD
- ≤1000 LoC
- Solves 50 states, 28 observations, 3 priorities (explicit game graph)

http://www.antichains.be/alpaga



Some experiments

	Size	Obs	Priorities	Time (s)
Game1	4	4	Reach.	.1
Game2	3	2	Reach.	.1
Game3	6	3	3	.1
Game4	8	5	5	1.4
Game5	8	5	7	9.4
Game6	11	9	10	50.7
Game7	11	8	10	579.0
Locking	22	14	Safety	.6
Mutex	50	28	3	57.7

http://www.antichains.be/alpaga



Alpaga

First prototype for solving parity games of imperfect information

Outlook

- Symbolic game graph
- Compact representation of strategies
- Almost-sure winning
- Relaxing visibility



Thank you !



Questions ?



http://www.antichains.be/alpaga

References

• [Reif84] J. H. Reif. The Complexity of Two-Player Games of Incomplete Information. J. Comput. Syst. Sci. 29(2): 274-301, 1984

• [CSL'06] K. Chatterjee, L. Doyen, T. A. Henzinger, and J.-F. Raskin. Algorithms for Omega-regular Games of Incomplete Information. Proc. of CSL, LNCS 4207, Springer, 2006, pp. 287-302

• [Concur'08] D. Berwanger, K. Chatterjee, L. Doyen, T. A. Henzinger, and S. Raje. Strategy Construction for Parity Games with Imperfect Information. Proc. of Concur, LNCS 5201, Springer, 2008, pp. 325-339

Alpaga demo

- Login on mtcserever
- Cd research/2008/StrategyConstruction/CodeMartin/Alpaga-2008-Aug-20/alpaga
- Help: python src/alpaga.py –h
- Locking example: python src/alpaga.py -t -i examples/locking.gii
 - Interactive mode: >> go